The Expression of *MaEXP1*, a *Melilotus alba* Expansin Gene, Is Upregulated During the Sweetclover–*Sinorhizobium meliloti* Interaction
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Expansins are a highly conserved group of cell wall-localized proteins that appear to mediate changes in cell wall plasticity during cell expansion or differentiation. The accumulation of expansin protein or the mRNA for specific expansin gene family members has been correlated with the growth of various plant organs. Because expansin proteins are closely associated with plant cell wall expansion, and as part of a larger study to determine the role of different gene products in the legume–*Rhizobium* spp. symbiosis, we investigated whether a *Melilotus alba* (white sweetclover) expansin gene is expressed during nodule development. A cDNA fragment encoding an expansin gene (EXP) was isolated from *Sinorhizobium meliloti*-inoculated sweetclover root RNA by reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction using degenerate primers, and a full-length sweetclover expansin sequence (*MaEXP1*) was obtained using 5’ and 3’ rapid amplification of cDNA end cloning. The predicted amino acid of the sweetclover expansin is highly conserved with the various α-expansins in the GenBank database. *MaEXP1* contains a series of eight cysteines and four tryptophans that are conserved in the α-expansin protein family. Northern analysis and whole-mount in situ hybridization analyses indicate that *MaEXP1* mRNA expression is enhanced in roots within hours after inoculation with *S. meliloti* and in nodules. Western and immunolocalization studies using a cucumber expansin antibody demonstrated that a cross-reacting protein accumulated in the expanding cells of the nodule.

Additional keywords: cell elongation or expansion.

Many legumes respond to *Rhizobium* spp. inoculation by developing new organs known as root nodules. The development of a legume nodule in which rhizobia convert atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia requires the exchange of specific signal molecules between the host plants and their microsymbionts. Flavonoids released by plant roots or seed are believed to act as chemoattractants to the bacteria, and certain flavonoids also are known to induce rhizobial (nod) genes. The products of rhizobial nod genes synthesize a lipochitooligosaccharide molecule known as Nod factor (Lerouge et al. 1990), which, in the appropriate legume, induces a variety of effects, including deformation of root hairs, division of root cortical cells, and nodule morphogene-
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of the various legume genome projects. A detailed study of expansins in soybean demonstrated that GmEXP1 is expressed at high levels in roots, especially in the root elongation zone (Lee et al. 2003). Because expansins are proteins that induce extension of isolated cell walls and because they have been correlated with the growth of various plant organs, they are very likely to be involved in nodule development in the legume–Rhizobium spp. symbiosis. Previously, Györgyey and associates (2000) identified an expansin gene that is expressed in young Medicago truncatula nodules induced by Sinorhizobium meliloti. However, expansin expression patterns have not been studied in roots following rhizobial inoculation or during nodule development. Here, we describe the expression of an α-expansin gene in Melilotus alba (white sweetclover) as well as transcript and protein localization during the development of the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with rhizobia.

**RESULTS**

**Cloning and sequence analysis of MaEXP1.**
To search for expansin genes involved in the *M. alba*–*S. meliloti* symbiosis, we used the reverse transcriptase version of the polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), utilizing degenerate primers corresponding to conserved regions of nine α-expansin genes (Shcherban et al. 1995), to amplify α-expansin cDNA fragments from roots nodulated by the *S. meliloti* strain Rm1021. The RT-PCR product migrated as a single band on an agarose gel (data not shown). Bands were collected and subsequently cloned, and the inserts of 16 different subclones were sequenced. Sequence analysis revealed that only one cDNA with homology to α-expansins was amplified. The sequence was found to be 537 bp in length and was deposited in GenBank (accession no. AF510987). MaEXP1 is 1,282 bp in length. The cDNA clone consists of a 5′-untranslated region of 96 bp, an open reading frame of 771 bp encoding a polypeptide of 257 amino acids, and a 3′-untranslated region of 415 bp.

The sequence of *MaEXP1* is highly conserved with those from other α-expansins recorded in GenBank. Sequence analysis revealed 79, 79, 70, and 62% identity and 88, 86, 80 and 72% similarity at the amino acid level to the predicted α-expansin proteins of *Pisum sativum* (PsEXP1), *Glycine max* (GmEXP2), *Cucumis sativus* (CsEXP1), and *G. max* (GmEXP1), respectively (Fig. 1A). The α-expansins so far identified have a signal peptide (approximately 25 amino acid residues) and possess eight conserved cysteines in their N-terminal regions and four conserved tryptophan residues in their C-terminal regions (Shcherban et al. 1995). According to the SignalP program, the deduced protein sequence of *MaEXP1* was predicted to contain an N-terminal signal peptide of 25-able cysteine and tryptophan residues was strictly conserved in the sequence (Fig. 1A).

**Genomic analysis of MaEXP1.**
A cDNA fragment corresponding to part of the *MaEXP1* coding region was used as a probe for genomic DNA gel-blot analysis (Fig. 1B). The probe hybridized to a single genomic fragment after high-stringency washes, suggesting that there is a single-copy gene in white sweetclover and that the probe is gene specific. Additional bands were present after lower-strin-

gency washes (data not shown); these may represent genes that are not closely related to previously described α-expansin genes of legumes.

Taken together, our sequence analysis indicates that the *MaEXP1* encodes an α-expansin protein and, furthermore, that *MaEXP1*, similar to its soybean counterparts, *GmEXP1* and *GmEXP2* (Lee et al. 2003), may exist as a single-copy gene.

**Transcript accumulation in roots following inoculation.**
The level of **MaEXP1** transcript accumulation was analyzed in roots at different time points after inoculation with *S. meliloti* (Fig. 2). Basal expression levels were detected in uninoculated roots. Before 5 days postinoculation (dpi), the level of **MaEXP1** transcript accumulation was not significantly different from that of uninoculated roots based on Northern analysis (data not shown). Increased accumulation of **MaEXP1** transcripts was observed in roots inoculated with *S. meliloti* 5 dpi and thereafter, indicating that the increase in transcript levels of this gene is symbiotically enhanced. The highest level of **MaEXP1** transcript accumulation in nodulated root systems was detected at 15 to 20 dpi (Fig. 2).

Because neither Northern nor RT-PCR analysis appeared to be sensitive enough to detect **MaEXP1** gene expression in uninoculated versus inoculated roots at early time points after inoculation, we undertook a whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) analysis to detect whether there was a difference in the accumulation of expansin transcripts at early time points.

At the 5- and 12-h time points, there was greater **MaEXP1** transcript accumulation in the Rm1021-inoculated roots than in the mock-inoculated control roots (Fig. 3A through D). By 24 h postinoculation (hpi), both the control and rhizobial inoculated roots demonstrated similar amounts of transcript accumulation based on the WISH results (Fig. 3E and F). At 24 hpi, the root hairs were deformed and cell expansion was observed behind the root tip. However, some cell expansion also was observed in the control roots. White sweetclover roots grown on agar for 24 to 48 h frequently bulge just behind the apical meristem. This region of cell expansion was coincident with the most intense color reaction.

The spatial pattern of gene expression in the root elongation zone persisted up to 5 days when the experiment was terminated (Fig. 3G through M). This profile of localization is consistent with the published findings for *GmEXP1* (Lee et al. 2003). **MaEXP1** mRNA localization also was detected in the zone where root hairs are initiated and in the region distal to the elongation zone, but not in the meristem itself. Several of the root tips were paraffin-embedded and then sectioned at 10 μm. An analysis of these sections demonstrated that **MaEXP1** transcripts were highly expressed in the epidermis (Fig. 3N, arrow), but no obvious color indicating **MaEXP1** expression was detected in the root hairs. **MaEXP1** transcripts were detected in the root meristem and the cells proximal to it, but at a lower level than in the root epidermis.

**MaEXP1** mRNAs also were identified in lateral roots (Fig. 3P and Q). A cross section through an emergent lateral root primordium showed that transcripts accumulated in the root epidermis (Fig. 3O, arrow).

**MaEXP1** expression is not root specific. **MaEXP1** expression was examined at the level of mRNA abundance in sweetclover vegetative tissues. RNA gel-blot analysis using total RNA from leaf, stem, root, and nodule revealed a band of approximately 1.2 kb (Fig. 4). The strongest hybridization signal was detected in nodule RNA, but a relatively robust signal was found in stem and root RNA. Minimal **MaEXP1** transcript accumulation was detected in leaves.
Fig. 1. A, Multiple alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of the full-length sweetclover expansin cDNA. Deduced amino acid sequences for sweetclover MaEXP1 (accession no. AF510987), pea PsEXP1 (accession no. X85187), soybean GmEXP2 (accession no. AF516880), cucumber CsEXP1 (accession no. U30382), and soybean GmEXP1 (accession no. AF516879) were aligned using the Clustal-W 1.8 program. Amino acids printed in black boxes represent positions where at least 50% of the residues are identical. Amino acids that are similar to the consensus are shaded. Conserved cysteine (C) and tryptophan (W) residues are marked by crosses and asterisks, respectively. The location of the putative N terminus of the mature peptide from white sweetclover as predicted by the SignalP program is indicated by an arrow (↓). B, Genomic DNA analysis of MaEXP1. Genomic DNA was digested with the indicated restriction enzymes. The gel blot was hybridized with the MaEXP1 cDNA probe and washed under high-stringency conditions.
Transcript accumulation in nodules.

Using the spot inoculation method, nodule primordia could be detected as early as 3 dpi. Both root and nodule primordia showed an overall light-purple color after the WISH procedure (Fig. 3Q). In slightly older nodule primordia (4 and 5 dpi), however, MaEXP1 transcripts were found to be localized to the outermost cells of the nodule (Fig. 3R and S). When the WISH-stained nodule was sectioned, the blue-purple color indicating MaEXP1 mRNAs was restricted to the cells at the edge of the developing nodule (Fig. 3T). Many of these cells were small and densely cytoplasmic.

MaEXP1 gene expression in a fully developed (15 dpi) nodule appeared to be localized to the innermost cells of the nodule cortex, the nodule meristem, and the invasion zone (Fig. 5A). A very young nodule primordium emerging from the same lateral root showed mRNA localization over the entire primordium (Figs. 5A, arrow, and 3R and Q). Nodules harvested 20 dpi and then hybridized with the antisense MaEXP1 RNA probe showed patterns of transcript accumulation that were similar to the 15-dpi nodules in the youngest nodule cortex cells, in the cells of the nodule meristem (zone I) and the invasion zone (zone II), which lies directly adjacent to the meristem (Fig. 5B). The latter zone is the region where infection thread growth, release of rhizobia, and host cell expansion occur. The nodule illustrated in Figure 5B was cut in half following the WISH procedure, showing that the nodule interior was accessible to the probe. Transcripts also were detected in interzone II-III cells (Fig. 5B, bracketed), where rhizobial proliferation and continued enlargement of infected cells take place. Transcript accumulation tapered off in zone III. Generally, very little mRNA accumulation was detected in cells of the symbiotic zone (zone III) or in cells of the senescent zone (zone IV) (Fig. 5A through C). Sense MaEXP1 mRNA probes showed no signal above background (Fig. 5E).

There was some variability in transcript localization; some nodules exhibited less MaEXP1 transcript accumulation in zone II (Fig. 5C). Paraffin-embedded, sectioned nodules confirmed that MaEXP1 transcripts were detected in the nodule cortex, especially in the youngest cells adjacent to the nodule meristem, and in the nodule meristem itself (Fig. 5D), albeit at low levels. A blue-purple color also is observed in the infected cells of zone III in this nodule. Other nodules showed a similar pattern of transcript localization (data not shown).

In summary, MaEXP1 transcripts accumulate in the youngest cells of the nodule cortex, in the nodule meristem, in zone II, and in interzone II-III of the nodule. There is a decrease in MaEXP1 transcript accumulation in zone III, the nitrogen-fixing zone of the nodule.

Immunodetection of expansin proteins in nodules.

We next determined the location of a protein that cross-reacted with an antibody raised to native CsEXP1 fraction S1 (Li et al. 1993). We performed an immunoblot analysis of proteins extracted with high-salt buffer from uninoculated sweetclover roots, 20-day-old inoculated roots, and 20-day-old nodules (Fig. 6). The CsEXP1 antibody cross-reacted strongly with an approximately 25-kDa polypeptide in the lanes containing protein isolated from inoculated roots or nodules. A faint cross-reactive band, also at 25 kDa, was detected in the root protein extracts in addition to several less cross-reactive bands of variable molecular weights following overexposure of the blot to film. The CsEXP1 antisera also recognized in the three protein extracts an approximately 55-kDa polypeptide, which may represent a homo- or heterodimeric expansin complex. Using the same antibody, a similar 55-kDa protein was detected in tomato (Rose et al. 2000).

Once we determined that the antibody directed against the cucumber native expansin cross-reacted with a white sweetclover protein, we performed immunohistochemistry to localize a putative expansin protein within mature nodules. Using immunofluorescence labeling, Figure 5F through H shows that a protein which cross-reacts with the CsEXP1 antibody is most obviously localized to the cell walls of the cells of zone II, the invasion zone. The highest magnification shows expansin staining of the cell walls and intercellular spaces, a distribution similar to that observed by Zhang and Hasenstein (2000) for cucumber hypocotyls and maize roots (Fig. 5H). Some expansin staining, although patchy, was observed in the nodule cortex, zone I, and zone III, particularly in those cells along the periphery of the nodule (Fig. 5G). A higher magnification of the latter region showed that the cell walls of both bacteroid-containing cells and uninfected cells contained a putative expansin (Fig. 5H). A pre-immune serum control is shown in Figure 5I. A control for autofluorescence (data not shown) was identical to Figure 5I in terms of showing no expansin staining.

In summary, expansin staining is found in the walls of nodule cells that are undergoing enlargement: some of the cells of the nodule cortex and zones I and III and most of the cells of zone II and interzone II-III.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified one α-expansin gene in M. alba. The deduced expansin protein shows all the characteristics of α-expansins, and its amino acid sequence is similar to other α-expansins, particularly to legume α-expansins. There is little similarity in the first 25 residues of the peptide sequence, which constitutes the signal peptide. The presence of signal peptides, predicted with the program SignalP, suggests that the encoded proteins are targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum, as is assumed for other members of the expansin gene family (Cosgrove 2000a). The mature protein (232 amino acid residues) contains, at the carboxyl terminus, four conserved tryptophans whose spacing resembles that of tryptophans in the cellulose-binding domains of cellulase. This region may be responsible for expansin binding to cellulose and related wall glycans (Sherchen et al. 1995). Three probable domains in expansin protein were postulated (Cosgrove 2000b): a classical signal peptide, a central catalytic domain, and a putative cellulose-binding domain at the carboxy-terminus of the protein. The binding domain anchors expansin to the surface of
Fig. 3. Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis used to localize MaEXP1 expression in roots and nodules of Melilotus alba. Pairs of roots either A, C, E, G, I, and K, uninoculated or B, D, F, H, J, and L, inoculated with Sinorhizobium meliloti at A and B, 5 hours post inoculation (hpi). C and D, 12 hpi. E and F, 24 hpi. G and H, 48 hpi. I and J, 72 hpi. and K and L, 4 days post inoculation (dpi). M, Inoculated root 5 dpi. N, Longitudinal section of a root tip harvested 28 hpi. The root meristem and adjacent cells are faintly colored. The epidermis, which has peeled away from the root cortex, is stained blue-purple (arrow). O, Cross section of a lateral root. The epidermis (arrow) is intensely blue-purple. P, Not-yet emergent lateral root 4 dpi is stained blue-purple. Q, Region of root near the inoculation site (ink spot on the right). Both a lateral root and young nodule are stained blue-purple. R, A nodule 4 dpi. Blue-purple color indicating MaEXP1 transcript localization is in the outer cells of the nodule. S, A nodule 5 dpi showing a similar localization of MaEXP1 transcripts. T, The same nodule sectioned. Scale bar = 100 µm.
the cellulose microfibril and restricts its mobility. This anchoring may be important to prevent expansins secreted by one cell from influencing the growth of neighboring cells. According to that model, and also because the growing cell wall of plants does not contain structural proteins that might play a role in parallel with the polysaccharide network, a recent report demonstrated that expansins lack proteinase activity and do not cause loosening via proteolysis (Li and Cosgrove 2001).

Expansin genes have been cloned from many different plant species and tissues: a detailed list is continually updated by the Cosgrove laboratory at Penn State University (University Park, PA, U.S.A.). In general, expansins are members of a large gene family. In legumes, however, it is unclear as to how many family members exist. For example, in pea (PisEXP1) (Michael 1996) and in Medicago truncatula (Györgyey et al. 2000), only one expansin gene has been identified. Similarly, MaEXP1 appears to exist as a single-copy gene although other, less closely related expansin genes, may occur in the Melilotus alba genome. In addition to a single β-expansin gene (Downes et al. 2001), the soybean α-expansin genes, GmEXP1 and GmEXP2, have been reported to exist as single-copy genes (Lee et al. 2003). Soybean is believed to be a diploidyzed tetraploid that was derived from an allotetraploid ancestor (Zhu et al. 1994). This may explain why two single-copy α-expansin genes, GmEXP1 and GmEXP2, were uncovered in the analysis of Lee and associates (2003). Also consistent with this hypothesis is the observation that, using an approach identical to the one described in this report to find expansin gene homologs in Medicago sativa cv. Iroquois, three different cDNAs with homology to α-expansin were amplified (data not shown). Most alfalfa cultivars are autotetraploids derived from hybridizing M. sativa and M. falcata (Agricultural Research Service 1977). In contrast, white sweetclover like pea and M. truncatula is an autogamous diploid (Hirsch et al. 2000).

The newly identified Melilotus alba expansin gene, MaEXP1, was amplified from cDNA derived from S. meliloti-inoculated root RNA, and is highly expressed in root nodules induced by S. meliloti. MaEXP1 transcripts levels were lower in stem and root, and barely detected in leaves (Fig. 4). The increase in MaEXP1 mRNA levels in nodules may be controlled at the level of gene transcription or mRNA stability. Northern blot analysis correlates specific times in nodule development with the expression pattern of the MaEXP1 gene. Analysis of transcript levels in roots soon after bacterial inoculation (0 to 48 h) revealed no significant differences with respect to control roots (data not shown). However, WISH analysis, when used to study spot-inoculated roots, allowed us to observe increased MaEXP1 gene expression 5 hpi. The WISH studies enabled not only the visualization of this early increase in MaEXP1 gene expression but also the accumulation of MaEXP1 transcripts in the elongation zone of the root, specifically in the root epidermis. However, at 24 hpi and later time points, it was difficult to assess whether there were any major differences in gene expression between the control and inoculated samples.

As shown for other plant tissues, accumulation of expansin transcripts in white sweetclover correlates with proliferation and expansion activities of root and nodule tissues. Cho and Kende (1998) reported that, in rice, expansin transcripts are abundant in lateral root primordia. By using WISH analysis (Figs. 3 and 5), we demonstrated that MaEXP1 mRNAs, although present in root and nodule meristems, were abundant in the elongating or expanding tissues of young nodules and lateral roots. In mature nodules, MaEXP1 transcripts were also detected in cells that were expanding; these include young nodule cortex cells and the cells of the nodule meristem, the invasion zone, and interzone II-III. However, immunolocalization showed weak, patchy staining in the nodule cortex and meristem. In the case of the meristem, this may reflect the low level of transcript accumulation in zone I, but it also may result from the cells in this region being tightly packed. Zhang and Hasenstein (2000) suggested this possibility because they observed a similar lack of expansin staining in the maize root meristem. They also noted that staining was patchy unless the cell walls were partially digested with cellulase. We were able to detect putative expansin presence in the cell walls of the invasion zone and some of the cells of zone III without a cellulase pretreatment. However, as occurred in maize roots (Zhang and Hasenstein 2000), expansin staining becomes weaker with greater distance from the meristem. The discrepancy in transcript accumulation versus the patchy immunostaining of the inner cells of nodule cortex also might be explained by the tight packing of these cells or, alternatively, by some type of yet unknown post-translational regulation. More studies are needed.

Root hairs are unicellular extensions of root epidermal cells that develop near the root apex. To penetrate into the hosts via root hairs, rhizobia stimulate and reorientate the growth of root-hair cell walls, resulting in deformed and curled root hairs, indicating that expansin could be involved in this mechanism. Root hairs treated with Nod factor or rhizobia bear a strong resemblance to expansin-treated root hairs (Cosgrove et al. 2002). However, a crude Nod factor preparation did not cause a change in cell wall extensibility in a functional assay (D. J. Cosgrove, personal communication). Furthermore, in our studies, we detected MaEXP1 transcripts in the root epidermis, but not reliably within root hairs. Similarly, Lee and associates (2003) did not report GmEXP1 transcript localization in root hairs. In studies on maize root hairs, expansin protein was localized in the specialized epidermal cells that bulge out to form the root hair as well as at the apex of still elongating root hairs (Baluska et al. 2000). In addition, the expression of two Arabidopsis expansin genes was linked to root hair initiation (Cho and Cosgrove 2002). Expression in root hairs was detected using an AtEXP7 promoter linked to GUS or green-fluorescent protein (GFP) (Cho and Cosgrove 2002). AtEXP7 is a root-specific expansin in Arabidopsis. The possibility exists that such an expansin also exists in M. alba, based on the Southern blots where some faintly hybridizing bands were detected after low-stringency washes (data not shown).

Fig. 4. Expression of expansin RNA in different white sweetclover tissues. A northern blot was prepared from 10 µg of total RNA isolated from leaves (L), stems (S), roots (R), and nodules (N), which were collected 15 days post inoculation and probed with the MaEXP1 probe. Amounts of ribosomal RNA were confirmed by staining the filter with methylene blue.
Legume nodules differ from lateral roots in their developmental origin, type of meristem, vasculature, hormone levels, and so on (Hirsch and LaRue 1997). However, in both nodules and emerging lateral roots, expansin transcript accumulation was observed in regions where cell elongation or expansion is taking place. This result suggests that expansin is one of several genes that are upregulated at common stages of lateral root and nodule development. However, other than the youngest cells of the nodule cortex, no peripheral tissue of the mature nodule accumulates MaEXP1 transcripts to the same extent as does the epidermis of the root. This points to a major developmental difference between roots and nodules.

Expression of specific expansin genes has been correlated with several physiological events, including hypocotyl expansion (McQueen-Mason et al. 1992), internode elongation in rice (Cho and Kende 1997), tomato fruit ripening (Rose et al. 1997, 2000), and leaf and root formation in rice (Cho and Kende 1998). To this list, we add expansin’s participation in nodule morphogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material.
White sweetclover (M. alba Desr. U389) seed were surface sterilized as described (Löbler and Hirsch 1993) and germinated on mesh placed in Magenta Jars (Magenta Corp., Chicago), containing one-quarter-strength Hoagland’s medium without nitrogen, or aseptically germinated in a plastic pan containing vermiculite and perlite watered with one-quarter-strength nitrogen-free Hoagland’s medium as previously described (Hirsch et al. 1989). The seedlings were inoculated 3 days after germination.

Bacterial strain.
White sweetclover plants were inoculated with wild-type S. melliloti (Rm1021) (Meade et al. 1982). The bacteria were grown in Rhizobium defined medium (Vincent 1970) until an optical density at 600 nm of 1.0 was reached. After washing the cells, 1 ml of bacteria resuspended in Hoagland’s medium was used per Magenta jar and 10 ml of bacteria were used as inoculum per row of plants grown in the plastic pan. For the studies on spot inoculation, rhizobia harboring plasmids that drive the expression of the autofluorescent GFPs were used. The spot on the roots was made with a pulled-out glass capillary.

Nucleic acid manipulations.
Genomic DNA was extracted from leaves of M. alba as described by Dellaporta and associates (1983). Restriction enzyme digestions were performed under standard conditions. To examine the temporal patterns of MaEXP1 gene expression or to produce cDNA using RT-PCR reactions, total RNA was isolated from different organs of M. alba. Tissues were harvested, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –70°C until use (Löbler and Hirsch 1993). Total RNA was isolated using RNA STAT-60 (Tel-Test “B”, Inc., Friendswood, TX, U.S.A.).

Expansin gene isolation and sequence analysis.
Total RNA (1 µg) from inoculated white sweetclover roots was used as template for cDNA synthesis using 0.5 mM dNTPs, oligo d(T)$_{12-18}$ at 25 µg/ml, ribonuclease inhibitor at 2 units/µl, and Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (GIBCO/BRL) at 10 units/µl at 42°C for 60 min. The reaction was inactivated by heating at 75°C for 15 min. The products subsequently were diluted and used as template for PCR amplification. Degenerate PCR primers were designed from conserved amino acids identified in an alignment of deduced amino acid sequence from nine expansins (Shcherban et al. 1995). The sense primer 5′-G(AC/AG)(TC)G(C/N)G(N)G(N)T(TC) TA(TC) GG(G)/N(3′ corresponding to amino acids 6 to 11 of the consensus sequence, and the antisense primer 5′-CTG CCA(AG)/T(TC)TG(N)CCCCA(AG)/TT-3′ to amino acids 182 to 188 (N = A, T, C, or G). The PCR amplification was carried out using 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 µM each primer, and 2.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (GIBCO/BRL). Amplification was performed by using an initial 5-min cycle at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30-s denaturation at 94°C, a 30-s primer annealing at 55°C, and a 90-s elongation at 72°C, concluding with a final 10 min at 72°C for extension. The PCR products were size fractionated on a 1.5% agarose gel. The resulting approximately 540-bp cDNA fragment was cloned into pT-Adv Vector (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.) and 16 clones were sequenced. M13 forward and reverse sites in the plasmid were used to prime a sequencing reaction. To obtain full-length cDNA, a 5′ and 3′ rapid amplification cDNA ends (RACE) procedure was performed with kits from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, U.S.A.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Based on the 537-bp sequence data, gene-specific primers were designed and used with the primers provided in the kits for amplifying 5′ ends and 3′ ends using PCR. The resulting DNA fragments for each PCR were purified, subcloned into the TOPO TA Cloning vector (Invitrogen), and sequenced at the University of California-Los Angeles Core Sequencing Lab. The 537-bp sequence and its corresponding 5′- and 3′-RACE sequences were combined to produce the full-length DNA sequence of MaEXP1. For the sequence analysis, the predicted amino acid sequence for the M. alba expansin gene (MaEXP1) was aligned with the corresponding sequences of expansins present in the GenBank database using the DNASTAR Megalign Clustal program.

DNA and RNA gel-blot analysis.
Genomic DNA was isolated from M. alba leaves. Genomic DNA (10 µg) was digested with a range of restriction enzymes, fractionated by electroelrophoresis on 0.8% (wt/vol) agarose gels, and transferred to Nytran (Schleicher and Schuell) membranes. A 537-bp EcoRI fragment from the MaEXP1-14 cDNA clone was radioabeled by random hexamer priming using (α-$^32$P) dATP and Klenow DNA polymerase and used as the MaEXP1 probe. Blots were hybridized at 55°C in 5× Denhardt’s solution, 5× SSPE (1× SSPE is 0.18 M NaCl, 10 mM NaPO$_4$, and 1 mM EDTA [pH 7.1]), 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 50% (vol/vol) formamide. Washes were carried out at 65°C using 1× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate), 0.5% SDS, and 0.1× SSC plus 0.5% SDS (twice for 15 min each).

Total RNA (10 µg per lane) was subjected to electrophoresis on 1% (wt/vol) agarose, 10% formaldehyde gels (McKann and Hirsch 1994), transferred to a membrane, and hybridized at conditions equivalent to that for the DNA-blot described above. RNA gels were visualized with ethidium bromide, or the RNA blotted to membranes was stained with methylene blue to test for equal loading.

In situ hybridization.
For preparing antisense and sense RNA fragments of the M. alba MaEXP1 gene, a cDNA fragment of 537 bp obtained by RT-PCR in the conditions described above was cloned into pCR II Vector (Invitrogen), giving plasmid MaEXP1-F. The probes were produced from T7 and SP6 RNA polymerase promoters, labeled with digoxigenin (DIG) by using the DIG
Fig. 5. Localization of *MaEXP1* transcripts and distribution of expansin protein in sweetclover nodules. **A** through **E**, For the in situ hybridizations, the tissues were probed with digoxigenin-labeled antisense *MaEXP1* RNA (A through D) or sense *MaEXP1* RNA (E). **A**, Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of a nodule and nodule primordium (arrow) emerging from the same root 15 days post inoculation (dpi). **B**, Longitudinal section of nodule harvested 20 dpi showing the different nodule zones. *MaEXP1* transcripts as evidenced by blue-purple color are found in the nodule cortex, zone I (meristem), and zone II (invasion zone), with a tapering off in zone III. Interzone II–III is bracketed. **C**, A nodule of approximately the same age as A but showing lesser accumulation of *MaEXP1* transcripts. **D**, Section of a nodule (10 µm) which was harvested 20 dpi, but shows a transcript accumulation pattern similar to C. *MaEXP1* transcripts are detected in the inner cells of the nodule cortex (nc) and zone I (m). There is some blue-purple color in the infected cell zone. **E**, An intact nodule harvested 20 dpi and hybridized with the sense probe. Scale bar = 100 µm. **F** through **H**, Detection and distribution of expansin protein in sweet clover nodules. The tissues were incubated with antibodies raised to native CsEXP1; sections were 10 to 15 µm. **F**, A protein cross-reacting to CsEXP1 is detected primarily in the cell walls of the invasion zone. Patchy localization is observed in the nodule cortex, the meristem (I), and zone III of the nodule. Confocal micrograph. **G**, Enlarged view of another nodule showing a similar localization of a protein cross-reacting to CsEXP1 in the cell walls. In this nodule, some cross-reaction is observed in zone III, especially at the edge of the nodule. Confocal micrograph. **H**, Enlargement of cells in zone II showing accumulation of a protein cross-reacting to CsEXP1 in the cell walls and intercellular spaces. Because this is a light micrograph, there is significantly more autofluorescence of the bacteria-containing cells because of the longer exposure times compared with the confocal micrographs. **I**, No cross-reacting protein is detected in the pre-immune-serum control section. Scale bar = 100 µm.
RNA labeling kit from Boehringer Mannheim (Ridgefield, CT, U.S.A.), and degraded to approximately 150 nucleotides long before hybridization (McKhan and Hirsch 1993). White sweetclover nodules and roots were fixed, dehydrated, and finally hybridized to DIG-labeled antisense or sense RNA probes according to a procedure derived from de Almeida Engler and associates (1998) (Giordano et al. 2002). Five roots and 10 nodules were examined for each time point. Roots and nodules were embedded in paraffin and sectioned as described in McKhan and Hirsch (1993).

Photographs were taken with Kodak Ektachrome Tungsten 160 film on a Zeiss Axiophot microscope and scanned into the computer, and composites were made using Adobe Photoshop.

Protein extraction, immunoblotting, and immunolocalization.

Crude wall proteins were prepared as described (Wu et al. 1996). Frozen root or nodule tissue was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen and thawed in ice-cold extraction buffer A (25 mM Hepes buffer [pH 6.8], 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 3 mM sodium meta-bisulfite) and homogenized for 1 min. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 min and the pellets washed three times by resuspending them in the same buffer without Triton X100 (extraction buffer B), followed by centrifugation. The pellets each were resuspended in buffer B plus 1 M NaCl and stirred at 4°C for 12 h. Samples were centrifuged as before, the supernatants re-extracted, and the supernatants combined. The solubilized fraction was precipitated by the addition of ammonium sulfate at 0.4 g ml–1. The precipitated protein was resuspended in buffer B, desalted, and concentrated with a Centricon YM-10 microconcentrator (Millipore, Bedford, MA, U.S.A.). Protein concentrations were determined with a protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, U.S.A.) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard. Protein extracts (40 µg/lane) were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on a 15% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) and transferred electrotheretically to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 3% BSA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBS-T) and exposed sequentially to 1:1000 diluted rabbit anti-CsEXP1 antisemur (Li et al. 1993), followed by 1:5000 diluted anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, and chemiluminescent reagents before exposure to Hyperfilm ECL (Amersham Life Science, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.). Intermediate washing steps were according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prestained molecular weight markers were from Novex (San Diego, CA, U.S.A.).

For the immunolocalization experiments, the nodules were fixed with 2.5% paraformaldehyde in stabilizing buffer (0.1 M cacodylate, pH 7.2) for 1 h at room temperature. They were briefly washed in PBS buffer (four times for 10 min each), embedded in O.C.T. (Tissue Tek; Electron Microscopy Supplies, Hatfield, PA, U.S.A.), and placed on dry ice. Longitudinal sections (10 to 15 µm thick) were cut on a rotary Zeiss cryostat. Sections were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% BSA in PBS, incubated with primary antibody diluted in PBS (1:20 or 1:100) for 2 h at room temperature, and washed with 0.5% PBS-T. The expansin primary antibodies were stained with FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody diluted 1:200 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence was examined using either a Zeiss Axiophot microscope or a Bio-Rad MRC1024ES (krypton-argon) confocal laser scanning microscope. The images were processed by Adobe Photoshop.

Fig. 6. Detection of expansin proteins in root and nodule tissues. Immunoblot analysis of expansin protein expression during nodule development on Melilotus alba roots (C), 20-day-old inoculated roots (I), and 20-day-old nodules (N). Membranes were incubated with antibodies raised to native CsEXP1. Size markers are indicated.
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