

## Strain identification in *Rhizobium meliloti* using the antibiotic disk susceptibility test\*

H. ANTOUN,

Département des Sols, Faculté des sciences de l'Agriculture et de l'Alimentation, Université Laval, Québec, Canada, G1K 7P4

L. M. BORDELEAU and DANIELLE PREVOST

Station de Recherches, Agriculture Canada, 2560, Boulevard Hochelaga, Sainte-Foy Québec, Canada, G1V 2J3

**Key words** Alfalfa Antibiotic disks Bacteria Plant *Rhizobium meliloti* Strain identification Symbiosis

**Summary** The antibiotic disk susceptibility test was used to measure the variation in the intrinsic resistance of 49 strains of *Rhizobium meliloti* to 9 antibiotics. Several strains had unique patterns of resistance. However, during cluster analysis, when a minimum Euclidean distance equal to 4 was used as a discriminating tool, the strains were grouped in 12 groups. The largest group contained 74% of the strains but 9 strains (2 very effective, 4 effective and 3 ineffective) showed very unique patterns of resistance and formed 9 distinct groups. *R. meliloti* strains in general showed high intrinsic resistance to the 9 antibiotics tested.

### Introduction

One of the major problems encountered during the study of *Rhizobium meliloti* ecology is the strain identification. The use of mutants in ecological study is not reliable because genetically marked strains with high levels of antibiotic resistance or auxotrophy can be affected in their symbiotic properties<sup>4,7,10</sup>. Serological techniques have severe limitations when applied to *R. meliloti*<sup>8</sup> and showed that the strains can only be separated into different groups, but identification of strains within a group is not feasible<sup>12</sup>. The use of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis showed that among other *Rhizobium* species, *R. meliloti* formed a distinct group and that this technique can be used to show differences between similar strains<sup>11</sup>. However, before an identification based on gels can be considered to be reliable, many more *R. meliloti* strains must be tested. The intrinsic antibiotic resistance have been used to identify *R. leguminosarum* and *R. phaseoli* strains under laboratory conditions<sup>9</sup>, and to identify inoculum strains of *R. phaseoli* under field conditions<sup>2</sup>.

The present work show that some *R. meliloti* strains can be clearly identified under laboratory conditions with the antibiotic disk susceptibility test described for clinical bacteria<sup>5</sup>.

\* Contribution no. 189 Station de Recherches, Agriculture Canada.

### Material and methods

Strains of *R. meliloti* used in this study and their symbiotic efficiency were previously described<sup>3</sup>. The strains were cultured on YMB liquid medium<sup>14</sup> containing (g/l): mannitol 10; Difco Bacto yeast Extract 1; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.5; MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.2; NaCl 0.1; pH 7.0. The strains were incubated for 4 days at 20°C on a rotary shaker and suspended in sterile saline to give approximately 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml. Dried plates, containing YMB medium solidified with 15 g/l of Difco Bacto agar, were surface inoculated with the diluted cultures, with a sterile cotton swab<sup>5</sup>. In alternate trials, the strains were cultured on slants of the solidified YMB medium and suspended directly in sterile saline without any change in the antibiotic resistance pattern. Difco Bacto antibiotic disks were applied on the plates with a dispenser, and after 3–4 days incubation at 28°C the strains were considered to be resistant, intermediate or sensitive to the antibiotics tested according to the diameters of the inhibition zones as described for clinical bacteria<sup>5</sup>. The antibiotic disks used were the following: aureomycin 5, 10 and 30 µg (A<sub>5</sub>, A<sub>10</sub>, A<sub>30</sub>); bacitracin 2, 5 and 10 units (B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>10</sub>); carbenicillin 50 and 100 µg (CB<sub>50</sub>, CB<sub>100</sub>); chloramphenicol 5, 10 and 30 µg (C<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>, C<sub>30</sub>); kanamycin 5, 10 and 30 µg (K<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>10</sub>, K<sub>30</sub>); nalidixic acid 10 and 30 µg (NA<sub>10</sub>, NA<sub>30</sub>); neomycin 5, 10 and 30 µg (N<sub>5</sub>, N<sub>10</sub>, N<sub>30</sub>); novobiocin 5, 10 and 30 µg (NB<sub>5</sub>, NB<sub>10</sub>, NB<sub>30</sub>); polymixin B 50, 100, 300 units (PB<sub>50</sub>, PB<sub>100</sub>, PB<sub>300</sub>); streptomycin 2, 5 and 10 µg (S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>5</sub>, S<sub>10</sub>); oxytetracycline 10 and 30 µg (O<sub>10</sub>, O<sub>30</sub>); tetracycline 5, 10 and 30 µg (T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>); triple sulfa 50 and 150 µg (TS<sub>50</sub>, TS<sub>150</sub>).

Cluster analysis was carried out by using BMD-P2M computer program<sup>6</sup>. Results were recorded as follows: strain resistant to the antibiotic tested = 3, intermediate resistance = 2 and sensitive = 1. The Euclidean distance between cases and clusters was calculated. The results are shown as a dendrogram prepared by the weighed average linkage method<sup>13</sup>.

### Results and discussion

All the *R. meliloti* strains tested were sensitive to aureomycin (A<sub>5</sub>, A<sub>10</sub>, A<sub>30</sub>), oxytetracycline (O<sub>10</sub>, O<sub>30</sub>), tetracycline (T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>) and resistant to bacitracin (B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>), kanamycin (K<sub>5</sub>), neomycin (N<sub>5</sub>, N<sub>10</sub>, N<sub>30</sub>) and polymixin B (PB<sub>50</sub>, PB<sub>100</sub>). These antibiotic disks were not used for further identification studies of the *R. meliloti* strains. The resistance patterns of the 49 strains tested is shown in Table 1. Very little variability was observed with some strains rated intermediate in resistance mainly when the diameter of the inhibition zone was very close to the limits established between two classes of resistance<sup>5</sup>. Several strains showed unique pattern of resistance, however in studies directed toward the re-isolation of an introduced strain from soils containing indigenous population, a severe discrimination method have to be used to avoid the effect of any variability that could occur. The use of the Euclidean distance in taxonomy has the disadvantage of grouping some slightly dissimilar strains in the same cluster, as for strains A<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>15</sub>, or in different clusters as for strains S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> (Fig. 1 and Table 1). However, if a high Euclidean distance is established as a base for discriminating between two clusters, this disadvantage will be overcome and we will have a more strict tool to characterize the different strains. By considering a value of 4 as the minimum acceptable Euclidean distance to differentiate between 2 clusters, the 49 strains of *R. meliloti* will form 12 distinct groups (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

Table 2. Effect of the antibiotic disks tested on the 49 strains of *R. meliloti*

Antibiotic disks	Number of strains		
	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
B <sub>10</sub>	46	2	1
CB <sub>50</sub>	42	0	7
CB <sub>100</sub>	42	0	7
C <sub>5</sub>	48	0	1
C <sub>10</sub>	45	2	2
C <sub>30</sub>	42	1	6
K <sub>10</sub>	47	2	0
K <sub>30</sub>	41	4	4
NA <sub>10</sub>	46	0	3
NA <sub>30</sub>	40	4	5
NB <sub>5</sub>	48	0	1
NB <sub>10</sub>	45	1	3
NB <sub>30</sub>	31	7	11
PB <sub>300</sub>	34	14	1
S <sub>2</sub>	5	31	13
S <sub>5</sub>	4	10	35
S <sub>10</sub>	2	1	46
TS <sub>50</sub>	46	0	3
TS <sub>150</sub>	46	0	3
Total (931)	700	79	152
%	75.2	8.5	16.3

Group 9 is the largest group and it contains 15 clusters formed by approximately 74% of the strains, but most of the remaining 26% of the strains can be identified without any ambiguity. In fact, the very effective strains S<sub>14</sub> and 3Doa8, the effective strains S<sub>9</sub>, S<sub>22</sub>, V<sub>4</sub> and 54033 and the ineffective strains E<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>1</sub> form 9 distinct groups showing unique pattern of resistance and could be used efficiently in ecological studies. The highest Euclidean distance observed with the ineffective strains C<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>1</sub>, might indicate that these strains belong to other *Rhizobium* species and that the ineffective strains have to be carefully studied to determine their exact identity with other techniques<sup>11</sup>. No correlation was observed between the effectiveness of the strains and their antibiotic resistance. These results confirm the previous observations made with *R. trifolii*<sup>7</sup>.

*R. meliloti* showed high intrinsic antibiotic resistance to the 9 antibiotics tested. In fact, the strains were resistant to 75% of the total antibiotic disks tested and sensitive to only 16% of the disks (Table 2). These data could be useful in formulating media in studies where *R. meliloti* has to be isolated directly from soils<sup>1</sup>.

ANTOUN, BORDELEAU AND PREVOST

Table I. Antibiotic-resistance patterns for the *Rhizobium meliloti* strains used in this study

Group no.	Strains	Antibiotic disks*																				
		B <sub>10</sub>	CB <sub>50</sub>	CB <sub>00</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>30</sub>	K <sub>10</sub>	K <sub>30</sub>	NA <sub>10</sub>	NA <sub>30</sub>	NB <sub>5</sub>	NB <sub>10</sub>	NB <sub>30</sub>	PB <sub>300</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>10</sub>	TS <sub>50</sub>	TS <sub>150</sub>		
1	S <sub>14</sub>	R	R	R	S	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	I	S	S	R	R	
2	3Doa8	R	S	S	R	R	R	J	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	I	I	S	R	R
3	V <sub>4</sub>	R	S	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	S <sub>22</sub>	R	S	S	R	J	S	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	I	I	S	R	R
5	54033	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	I	I	S	S	S	S
6	S <sub>9</sub>	I	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
7	A <sub>4</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	S <sub>15</sub>	I	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
8	E <sub>1</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
9a	V <sub>7</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
b	S <sub>11</sub> , R <sub>1</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
c	D <sub>3</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
d	A <sub>2</sub> , S <sub>6</sub> , S <sub>12</sub> , I <sub>2</sub> , I <sub>3</sub> , I <sub>4</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
e	S <sub>1</sub> , S <sub>2</sub> , S <sub>13</sub> , S <sub>20</sub> , V <sub>2</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
f	S <sub>4</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
g	S <sub>8</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
h	E <sub>2</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
i	23A, A <sub>3</sub> , S <sub>7</sub> , S <sub>10</sub> , S <sub>16</sub> , S <sub>19</sub> , V <sub>5</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
j	3Doa20a	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
k	S <sub>5</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
l	V <sub>1</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
l	A <sub>5</sub> , D <sub>2</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
m	D <sub>1</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
n	S <sub>21</sub> , V <sub>6</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
o	I <sub>1</sub> , V <sub>5</sub>	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
10	ALFALFA D	R	S	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	54032	R	S	S	R	I	S	R	R	S	S	R	R	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
11	A <sub>1</sub>	S	S	S	R	R	R	I	S	R	S	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
12	C <sub>1</sub>	R	S	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

\* For the description of the antibiotic disks used see Material and Methods.  
R = Resistant I = Intermediate S = Sensitive.



Fig. 1. Dendrogram, based on the Euclidean distance, of the *Rhizobium meliloti* strains according to their intrinsic antibiotic resistance patterns.

The method described in this work show that some strains of *R. meliloti* can be easily identified under laboratory conditions. This method can probably be improved by using other intrinsic properties such as specific requirements, carbon and nitrogen utilisation. Field trials work are required to evaluate the reliability of this method in the *in situ* ecological studies of *R. meliloti*.

**Acknowledgement** Part of this work was supported by a grant (A7622) from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Received 12 November 1981. Revised January 1982

**References**

- 1 Barber L E 1979 Use of selective agents for recovery of *Rhizobium meliloti* from soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43, 1145–1148.
- 2 Beynon J L and Josey D P 1980 Demonstration of heterogeneity in a natural population of *Rhizobium phaseoli* using variation in intrinsic antibiotic resistance. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 118, 437–442.
- 3 Bordeleau L M, Antoun H and Lachance R A 1977 Effets des souches de *Rhizobium meliloti* et des coupes successives de la luzerne (*Medicago sativa*) sur la fixation symbiotique d'azote. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 57, 433–439.
- 4 Denarie J, Truchet G and Bergeron B 1976 Effects of some mutations on symbiotic properties of *Rhizobium*. In *Symbiotic nitrogen fixation in plants*. Ed. P S Nutman. Cambridge University Press, pp 47–62.
- 5 Difco 1976 Antibiotic susceptibility disks for use in standardized antibiotic disk susceptibility test. Difco laboratories, Michigan, U.S.A.
- 6 Engelman L 1979 Cluster analysis of cases. In *BMDP Biomedical Computer programs. P-series*. Eds. W J Dixon and M B Brown. University of California Press, pp 633–642.
- 7 Hagedorn C 1979 Relationship of antibiotic resistance to effectiveness in *Rhizobium trifolii* populations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43, 921–925.
- 8 Humphrey B A and Vincent J M 1975 Specific and shared antigens in strains of *Rhizobium meliloti*. *Microbios* 13, 71–76.
- 9 Josey D P, Beynon J L, Johnston A W B and Beringer J E 1979 Strain identification in *Rhizobium* using intrinsic antibiotic resistance. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 46, 343–350.
- 10 Pain A N 1979 Symbiotic properties of antibiotic-resistant and auxotrophic mutants of *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 47, 53–64.
- 11 Roberts G P, Leps W T, Silver L E and Brill W J 1980 Use of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis to identify and classify *Rhizobium* strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 39, 414–422.
- 12 Sinha R C and Peterson E A 1980 Homologous serological analysis of *Rhizobium meliloti* strains by immunodiffusion. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 26, 1157–1161.
- 13 Sneath P H A and Sokal R R 1973 *Numerical taxonomy*. W H Freeman and Co., San Francisco.
- 14 Vincent J M 1970 *A Manual for the practical Study of Root Nodule Bacteria*. I B P Handb. No. 15 Blackwell Scientific publications. Oxford and Edinburgh.