

AGROECOLOGIA, SOSTENIBILIDAD Y EDUCACION



**Ronald Ferrera Cerrato
Roberto Quintero Lizaola
Editores**

**Centro de Edafología, Colegio de Postgraduados
Montecillo, Estado de México**

CRITICAL EVALUATION OF PLANT INOCULATION WITH BENEFICIAL BACTERIA FROM THE GENUS *Azospirillum*

Y. BASHAN¹, G. HOLGUIN¹, M. E. PUENTE¹, A. CARRILLO¹, L. ALCARAZ-MELENDZ¹, A. LOPEZ-CORTES¹ AND J.-L. OCHOA¹.

¹Department of Microbiology, and ²Department of Biochemistry, The Center for Biological Research (CIB), P.O. Box 128, La Paz, B.C.S., Mexico 23000

EVALUACION CRITICA DE LA INOCULACION VEGETAL CON BACTERIAS BENEFICAS DEL GENERO *Azospirillum*

SUMMARY

Azospirillum was initially tested as a potential biofertilizer for cereals more than 15 years ago. Despite the optimistic initial results, the affect of inoculation with *Azospirillum* in the field has proven to be inconsistent and unpredictable. Results have been difficult to reproduce even when experiments are performed identically. Therefore, field experimentation with *Azospirillum* has been drastically reduced. It is estimated that *Azospirillum* inoculation of cereals should result in an average increase in yield of 10-15% in fertilized areas and up to 20% usins more traditional agricultural practices. However, this is difficult to predict as long as basic features of the plant-bacteria interaction are unknown. Current research in *Azospirillum* inoculation is focusing on two new directions: (i) double inoculation of *Azospirillum* and other rhizosphere microorganisms such as *Rhizobium*, *Pseudomonas* and mycorrhizal fungi. The role of *Azospirillum* in this multiple interaction is that of a "helper" bacteria which improves the interaction of these microorganisms with plants; and (ii) inoculation of non-cereal crops and ornamental plants. Since this unspecific bacteria affects a large variety of plants, it is possible that inoculation of non-cereal plants will produce more consistent results.

RESUMEN

Hace más de quince años se probó por primera vez el potencial de *Azospirillum* como biofertilizante en cereales. A pesar de los resultados optimistas iniciales, la inoculación con *Azospirillum* en el campo ha demostrado ser inconsistente e impredecible. Ha sido difícil reproducir los resultados a pesar de que los experimentos realizados se llevaron a cabo de

manera idéntica. Esto provocó que la experimentación de campo con *Azospirillum* se redujera dramáticamente. Estimaciones actuales proponen que la inoculación de cereales con *Azospirillum* debería incrementar el rendimiento en un 10 a 15% en áreas fertilizadas y hasta un 20% utilizando prácticas agrícolas menos desarrolladas. Sin embargo, esto es difícil de predecir mientras se desconozcan los factores básicos que intervienen en la interacción planta-bacteria. Las investigaciones actuales se enfocan en dos nuevas direcciones: (1) inoculación doble de *Azospirillum* con otros microorganismos de la rizósfera, tales como *Rhizobium*, pseudomonas y hongos micorrízicos. La función de *Azospirillum* en esta interacción múltiple es la de bacteria "cooperadora" la cual contribuye positivamente en la interacción de estos microorganismos con las plantas e, (2) inoculación de plantas no-cereales y plantas de ornato. Ya que esta bacteria no-específica produce efectos positivos en una gran variedad de plantas, es factible que la inoculación en plantas no-cereales proporcione resultados consistentes.

INTRODUCTION

After 50 years of obscurity, *Azospirillum* was re-discovered in the mid seventies by J. Döbereiner and her colleagues in Brazil. At the time, it was considered by many to be the equivalent of *Rhizobium*, but to more economically important cereal plants. Consequently, this field of research was heavily financed by the biotechnology industry. However, within a few years, this promising "gold mine" had frustrated most investors. Even though field inoculation could increase the yield of many cereals up to 30% (and even more under greenhouse conditions), it failed to produce the consistent results required by the farming industry of developed countries. There emerged no "formula for success" that could be adopted for higher yields, and results were erratic and random. Consequently, most research funds were withdrawn in the eighties and redirected to the bio-control of soil-borne pathogens. The U.S.A. and Canada are still reluctant to fund *Azospirillum* research, but a handful of groups, mainly in Israel, western Europe and Latin America, have continued the struggle of converting an unpromising commercial hopeful into a future biofertilizer for plants (Bashan and Levanony, 1990; Jagnow, 1987; Michiels *et al.*, 1989).

It has been clear from the beginning that in order to make a breakthrough in inoculation technology, one of the most important questions is *how Azospirillum* affects plant growth. Unfortunately, unlike rhizobia producing nodules, *Azospirillum*-plant interaction produces no clearly visible phenotype in the root system. Therefore, the search for a mechanism is complicated and has been heavily influenced by personal interpretation. Over the last 15 years, several mechanisms of plant microbe interaction have been proposed.

NITROGEN-FIXATION

The first mechanism to be proposed was N_2 -fixation. This bacteria is an efficient nitrogen fixer and participates in several transformations of the nitrogen cycle in soil (Pedrosa, 1988). Much of the literature from 10 years ago demonstrated that *Azospirillum* inoculation significantly increased the total nitrogen of the plant. However, careful analysis of the bacteria's contribution to the fixed nitrogen showed that it was responsible for, at most, 18% of the accumulated nitrogen (Rennie and Thomas, 1987). Other studies showed even much lower increases. Studies with ^{15}N showed that the real nitrogen contribution by the bacteria was within 5% of the accumulated nitrogen (Okon *et al.*, 1983). Furthermore, deletion of the genes for nitrogenase from the bacteria did not arrest the increased growth rate of inoculated tomato plants (Bashan *et al.*, 1989c). Therefore, it is unlikely that N_2 -fixation plays an important role, if any, in this interaction.

ACTIVITY OF NITRATE REDUCTION IN THE ROOT SYSTEM

A bacterial nitrate reductase theory (Boddy and Döbereiner, 1988) has been proposed to explain nitrogen accumulation following *Azospirillum* inoculation. This theory attributes nitrogen accumulation to nitrate assimilation enhanced by the bacteria. Although a sound theory, as yet, it has only been evaluated in a few strains of wheat. At the present time, this theory needs further confirmation.

HORMONAL EFFECTS

A longstanding claim has been that the *Azospirillum* mechanism is based on changes in plant hormones induced by the bacteria. This theory is based on several facts. (i) This bacteria produces several plant hormones in culture, especially indoleacetic acid, a fact known since the early years of research on this bacteria (Hartmann *et al.*, 1983; Kucey, 1988). (ii) The application of synthetic hormones to plants produces effects that mimic the effect of *Azospirillum* inoculation. (iii) Hormone overproducing mutants cause more pronounced effects on plant growth than wild-type strains. (iv) Changes in plant hormones have been detected, but in only one plant species so far (Fallik *et al.*, 1989).

These facts provide indirect evidence that *Azospirillum* is involved in plant hormone regulation. However, this evidence alone, cannot confirm hormonal effects as the principle mechanism by which *Azospirillum* promotes plant growth. Many unanswered questions remain: (i) Do changes in root morphology, presumably induced by hormones, have a direct effect on the growth of a plant and ultimately produce a higher yield? Hormonal changes that have only been observed at the seedling stage may not affect mature plants during the productive period several months later, when the *Azospirillum* population on the roots

sharply declines. (ii) Are irreversible IAA-deficient mutants, isogenic to the parental strain, incapable of producing morphological effects on roots? (iii) Do various soil-grown plant species have similar changes in the hormonal balance? (iv) Shouldn't changes in hormonal balance be demonstrated in inoculated plants as well?

Although plant hormonal changes are considered promising by many researchers, the data obtained so far is more circumstantial than direct, and one should be cautious in attributing the changes in plant growth to this mechanism alone.

GENERAL IMPROVEMENT IN ROOT GROWTH AND MINERAL UPTAKE

In addition to its effect on roots *Azospirillum* inoculation improved many plant foliage parameters which were attributed to improved mineral and water uptake (Murty and Ladha, 1988; Sarig *et al.*, 1986). Evidence gathered from inoculated plants includes: enhanced accumulation of many minerals in plant foliage, enzymatic activities related to ion transformation in plant foliage, improved water uptake, partial substitution of nitrogen fertilization and increased proton efflux.

However, despite these visible effects, some crucial questions remain. It is likely that improved mineral and water uptake play an essential role in *Azospirillum*-plant interaction. However, it has not been shown whether this is the cause, or the result, of another mechanism such as a change in the hormone balance of the plant. Furthermore, the wide range of enzymes related to ion transport within the plants has been poorly studied, and no detailed analysis has been made of *Azospirillum* mutants that do not improve the mineral and water uptake in plants. Crucial to the full acceptance of this theory, is that very few strains have been evaluated. It is doubtful that most *Azospirillum* strains possess these abilities since some *A. brasilense* strains failed to improve the uptake of several ions, yet still improved plant growth (Bashan *et al.*, 1990).

SIGNAL MOLECULES

A novel perspective has recently attempted to end the dead lock on the mechanism of *Azospirillum*. The fact that *Azospirillum* affects plant cell metabolism from outside the plant (Bashan *et al.*, 1991a; Levanony *et al.*, 1989), suggests that the bacteria are capable of excreting and transmitting signals which cross the plant cell wall and are recognized by the plant membranes. This interaction initiates a chain of events which result in the observed altered metabolism of inoculated plants. Since plant membranes are extremely sensitive to any change, they may serve as a precise indicator of *Azospirillum* activity at the cellular level.

Signal molecules which enhanced proton efflux from roots and changed the membrane potential were detected in wheat, cowpea and soybean plants (Bashan, 1990, 1991b; Bashan *et al.*, 1989a, 1992). Although a promising avenue for future research, much remains to be clarified. Is there a relationship between the membrane activities of inoculated plants and growth parameters? Do these phenomena, detected *in vitro*, also occur *in situ*? What is the chemical nature of these molecules? Can different *Azospirillum* strains and plant species form an interaction which results in changes in membrane activity?

ADDITIVE HYPOTHESIS

Although the above proposals are based on experimental evidence, there is insufficient quantitative data to support the notion that one of these mechanisms is solely responsible for changes in plant growth. Therefore, we are submitting an "additive hypothesis": Probably more than one mechanism participates in the association, either simultaneously or in succession. The sum of their activities, when introduced under the proper environmental conditions, results in the observed changes in plant growth. This hypothesis may also explain the previously inconsistent results. Presumably, one or more mechanisms are inactive or only partially active, thus maximal benefits are rarely achieved. This hypothesis, may ultimately lead us to re-define *Azospirillum* as a "plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria" (PGPR) instead of an "associative nitrogen fixer".

IMPORTANCE OF ATTACHMENT OF *Azospirillum* TO ROOTS

The frequent failure of the inoculation experiments returned the focus of research to the most fundamental feature of this interactions; the bacteria does not produce any structural formation on the roots and therefore, it is unprotected from the environment and microbial competitors. To survive on the root surface, the bacteria must produce some permanent anchoring mechanism.

The secure attachment of beneficial bacteria is essential for a long term association with the host plant for three reasons: (i) If the bacteria is not attached to root epidermal cells, substances excreted by the bacteria diffuse into the rhizosphere where they are consumed by nutritionally-versatile microorganisms before reaching the target plant. However, when the bacteria attach to the roots, part of these substances are diffused from their longitudinal side into the intercellular spaces of the root cortex. This is especially true for bacterial aggregate colonization where attachment is horizontal to the root surface (Levanony *et al.*, 1989). (ii) Without a secure attachment, water may wash the bacteria away from the rhizosphere to perish in the surrounding, nutrient-deficient soil. *Azospirillum* is known to survive poorly in soils without plants to act as hosts (Bashan and Levanony, 1990). (iii)

Association sites on roots with no attached beneficial bacteria are vulnerable to other aggressive, non-beneficial colonizers.

Current studies in several laboratories (Bashan and Levanony, 1988 a, b, 1989b; Bashan *et al.*, 1986, 1991b; Del Gallo *et al.*, 1989; Levanony and Bashan, 1991; Michiels *et al.*, 1991) have revealed the presence of fibrillar material of various dimensions connecting the bacteria to roots and sand surfaces. The chemical nature of this fibrillar material is still uncertain. Is it protein or polysaccharides (Bashan and Levanony, 1988 b; Michiels *et al.*, 1991), and how many different mechanisms exist? Findings in this basic research are will ultimately influence applicative studies in *Azospirillum* technology.

MIXED INOCULATION OF *Azospirillum* WITH OTHER MICROORGANISMS

Inoculation with *Azospirillum* alone has a limited future as long as the above mentioned questions remain. However, a new avenue of investigation has evolved that may breathe new life into *Azospirillum* technology: mixed inoculation. In this technology, *Azospirillum* is mixed with other microorganisms which have a proven effect on plants, such as *Rhizobium* and mycorrhizal fungi, in order to enhance the effectiveness of the latter (Barea *et al.*, 1983; Del Gallo and Fabri, 1991; Halsall and Gibson, 1986; Plazinski and Rolfe, 1985; Yahalom *et al.*, 1987). This role of "helper" bacteria is especially suitable for *Azospirillum* since its primary effect is on increased root development (higher surface area, more root hairs, and increased excretion of root exudates), thus increasing the probability of successful infection by the major contributor in a synergistic way.

The co-inoculation approach is currently the most promising one; however, the data is still insufficient to justify full scale field experiments with reasonable chance of success.

INOCULATION OF NON-CEREAL CROP PLANTS

Azospirillum was initially isolated from cereals roots, and naturally, most inoculations have been done on cereals. However, the inconsistent results of *Azospirillum* inoculation on cereals invited researcher to evaluate the inoculation of other plant species. It appears that many other plant species react positively to inoculation, and they appear to do it more consistently (Bashan *et al.*, 1989 b; Fages and Arsac, 1991). However, research in this area is still at the greenhouse stage, and field studies would be required to validate any claims of consistency.

OTHER DIFFICULTIES INHIBITING THE COMMERCIALIZATION OF *Azospirillum* TECHNOLOGY

(i) The ultimate test for even the most beneficial isolate is its ability to survive and to successfully colonize plant roots in the presence of larger number of other indigenous rhizosphere microorganisms. The study of the bacterial behavior in various competitive environments (Bashan, 1986 c, 1991 a; Bashan and Lavanony, 1987, 1989 a; Bashan *et al.*, 1987; Harris *et al.*, 1989) is in its infancy. No data is available on interaction between *Azospirillum* and the most prominent rhizosphere bacteria, let alone with fungi. Two major facts are known: (i) *Azospirillum* is not a biocontrol agent against soil-borne pathogens as are many pseudomonads, and (ii) suppression of competing microfauna encourages colonization by *A. brasilense* (Bashan, 1986 a).

(ii) Genetic research in *Azospirillum* is the Achilles heel of this system. A number of studies were conducted on *Azospirillum* genetics. Unfortunately, most of them were related to the nitrogen fixing ability of the bacteria (Elmerich *et al.*, 1987) which is meaningless to *Azospirillum* technology as explained before. Recently, the first genes related to plant bacteria interaction were identified, and a few mutants were manipulated (Abdel-Salam and Klingmüller, 1987; Givaudan and Bally, 1991; Vande Broek *et al.*, 1989). There is one significant difficulty in genetic studies of *Azospirillum*-plant interaction; the absence of a clear phenotype resulting from the interaction such as the formation of nodules in legumes induced by *Rhizobium*. Such an absence prohibits large scale screening of mutants. Until an easy-to-test and consistent phenotype is detected, it is unlikely that research in this area will progress rapidly. Thus, it is evident that genetic manipulation to produce a super-*Azospirillum* is in the distant future and should not be considered as a feasible possibility for *Azospirillum* technology today.

(iii) Inoculant carriers for *Azospirillum* are no different from those produced for other beneficial bacteria used as biocontrol agents, or for *Rhizobium*. The first commercial *Azospirillum* inoculant was released on the French market last year (Fages, 1991). Most *Azospirillum* inoculants are based on peat, vermiculite or various organic waste substances. These inoculants have many limitations and up to now the most advanced inoculant carriers were micro-capsules of *Azospirillum* in polymeric matrix. This inoculant carrier is currently under development in several laboratories (Bashan, 1986 b; Van Elsas and Heijnen, 1990) with hopes of being commercialized in the near future.

CONCLUSIONS

The easy exploitation of *Azospirillum* technology proved to be a costly dream which has discouraged research in this plant-bacteria interaction. However, during the last decade it has been repeatedly shown that, although complex, this system has the potential for

OTHER DIFFICULTIES INHIBITING THE COMMERCIALIZATION OF *Azospirillum* TECHNOLOGY

(i) The ultimate test for even the most beneficial isolate is its ability to survive and to successfully colonize plant roots in the presence of larger number of other indigenous rhizosphere microorganisms. The study of the bacterial behavior in various competitive environments (Bashan, 1986 c, 1991 a; Bashan and Lavanony, 1987, 1989 a; Bashan *et al.*, 1987; Harris *et al.*, 1989) is in its infancy. No data is available on interaction between *Azospirillum* and the most prominent rhizosphere bacteria, let alone with fungi. Two major facts are known: (i) *Azospirillum* is not a biocontrol agent against soil-borne pathogens as are many pseudomonads, and (ii) suppression of competing microfauna encourages colonization by *A. brasilense* (Bashan, 1986 a).

(ii) Genetic research in *Azospirillum* is the Achilles heel of this system. A number of studies were conducted on *Azospirillum* genetics. Unfortunately, most of them were related to the nitrogen fixing ability of the bacteria (Elmerich *et al.*, 1987) which is meaningless to *Azospirillum* technology as explained before. Recently, the first genes related to plant bacteria interaction were identified, and a few mutants were manipulated (Abdel-Salam and Klingmüller, 1987; Givaudan and Bally, 1991; Vande Broek *et al.*, 1989). There is one significant difficulty in genetic studies of *Azospirillum*-plant interaction; the absence of a clear phenotype resulting from the interaction such as the formation of nodules in legumes induced by *Rhizobium*. Such an absence prohibits large scale screening of mutants. Until an easy-to-test and consistent phenotype is detected, it is unlikely that research in this area will progress rapidly. Thus, it is evident that genetic manipulation to produce a super-*Azospirillum* is in the distant future and should not be considered as a feasible possibility for *Azospirillum* technology today.

(iii) Inoculant carriers for *Azospirillum* are no different from those produced for other beneficial bacteria used as biocontrol agents, or for *Rhizobium*. The first commercial *Azospirillum* inoculant was released on the French market last year (Fages, 1991). Most *Azospirillum* inoculants are based on peat, vermiculite or various organic waste substances. These inoculants have many limitations and up to now the most advanced inoculant carriers were micro-capsules of *Azospirillum* in polymeric matrix. This inoculant carrier is currently under development in several laboratories (Bashan, 1986 b; Van Elsas and Heijnen, 1990) with hopes of being commercialized in the near future.

CONCLUSIONS

The easy exploitation of *Azospirillum* technology proved to be a costly dream which has discouraged research in this plant-bacteria interaction. However, during the last decade it has been repeatedly shown that, although complex, this system has the potential for

agricultural exploitation. The main difficulty is our incomplete understanding of the basic system and several characteristics that are unique to this system. These difficulties must be overcome before *Azospirillum* can be successfully commercialized as a beneficial inoculant for agriculture. Alternatively, since the *Azospirillum* system has been one of the most studied in rhizosphere research, it is being used as a model for basic rhizosphere research regardless of its commercial potential. New research in co-inoculation and non-cereal application will contribute to our collective knowledge of this complex system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was written for the memory of the late Mr. Avner Bashan from Israel who supported *Azospirillum* research. We thank Mr. Roy Bowers for careful English corrections. This evaluation was supported by grants # D000/1178 and # 0174-N9107 from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), México.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abdel-Salam, M., and Klingmüller, W. 1987. Transposon Tn5 mutagenesis in *Azospirillum lipoferum*: isolation of indole acetic acid mutants. *Molecular General Genetics* 210:165-170.
- Barea, J. M., A. F. Bonis and A. Olivares. 1983. Interactions between *Azospirillum* and VA mycorrhizae and their effects on growth and nutrition of maize and ryegrass. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 15:705-709.
- Bashan, Y. 1986a. Enhancement of wheat roots colonization and plant development by *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd. following temporary depression of the rhizosphere microflora. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 51: 1067-1071.
- Bashan, Y. 1986b. Alginate beads as synthetic inoculant carriers for the slow release of bacteria that affect plant growth. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 51:1089-1098.
- Bashan, Y. 1986c. Migration of the rhizosphere bacteria *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* towards wheat roots in the soil. *Journal of General Microbiology* 132: 3407-3414.
- Bashan, Y. 1990. Short exposure to *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd inoculation enhanced proton efflux in intact wheat roots. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 36: 419-425.

- Bashan, Y. 1991a. Air-borne transmission of the rhizosphere bacterium *Azospirillum*. *Microbial Ecology* 22: 257-269.
- Bashan, Y. 1991b. Changes in membrane potential of intact soybean root elongation zone cells induced by *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*. 37:958-963.
- Bashan, Y., Alcaraz-Melendez, L., and Toledo, G. 1992. Responses of soybean and cowpea root membranes to inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Symbiosis* (In press).
- Bashan, Y., Harrison, S.K. and Whitmoyer, R.E. 1990. Enhanced growth of wheat and soybean plants inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense* is not necessarily due to general enhancement of mineral uptake. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 56:769-775.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1987. Horizontal and vertical movement of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd in the soil and along the rhizosphere of wheat and weeds in controlled and field environments. *Journal of General Microbiology* 133: 3473-3480.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1988a. Adsorption of the rhizosphere bacterium *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd to soil, sand and peat particles. *Journal of General Microbiology* 134:1811-1820.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1988b. Active attachment of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd to quartz sand and to light-textured soil by protein bridging. *Journal of General Microbiology* 134: 2269-2279.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1989a. Wheat root tips as a vector for passive vertical transfer of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd. *Journal of General Microbiology* 135: 2899-2908.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1989b. Factors affecting adsorption of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd to root hairs as compared with root surface of wheat. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 35: 936-944.
- Bashan, Y. and Levanony, H. 1990. Current status of *Azospirillum* inoculation technology: *Azospirillum* as a challenge for agriculture. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 36:591-608.

- Bashan, Y., Levanony, H. and Klein, E. 1986. Evidence for a weak active external adsorption of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd to wheat roots. *Journal of General Microbiology* 132: 3069-3073.
- Bashan, Y., Levanony, H. and Mitiku, G. 1989a. Changes in proton efflux of intact wheat roots induced by *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd. *Canadian Journal of General Microbiology* 35:691-697.
- Bashan, Y., Levanony, H. and Whitmoyer, R.E. 1991 a. Root surface colonization of non-cereal crop plants by pleomorphic *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd. *Journal of General Microbiology* 137: 187-196.
- Bashan, Y., Levanony, H. and Ziv-Vecht, O. 1987. The fate of field inoculated *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd in wheat rhizosphere during the growing season. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 33: 1074-1079.
- Bashan, Y., Mitiku, G., Whitmoyer, R.E. and Levanony, H. 1991b. Evidence that fibrillar anchoring is essential for *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd attachment to sand. *Plant and Soil* 132: 73-83.
- Bashan, Y., Ream, Y., Levanony, H. and Sade, A. 1989b. Nonspecific responses in plant growth, yield, and root colonization of noncereal crop plants to inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67: 1317-1324.
- Bashan, Y., Singh, M. and Levanony, H. 1989c. Contribution of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd to growth of tomato seedlings is not through nitrogen fixation. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67: 2429-2434.
- Boddey, R.M., and Döbereiner, J. 1988. Nitrogen fixation associated with grasses and cereals: recent results and perspectives for future research. *Plant and Soil* 108:53-65.
- Del Gallo, M., Negi, M. and Neyra, C.A. 1989. Calcofluor-and lectinbinding exocellular polysaccharides of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Azospirillum lipoferum*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 171:3504-3510.
- Del Gallo, M., and Fabri, P. 1991. Effect of soil organic matter on chickpea inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Ciceri*. *Plant and Soil* 137:171-175.
- Elmerich, C., Bozoukline, H., Vieille, C., Fogher, C., Perroud, B., and Perrin, A. 1987. *Azospirillum*: Genetics of nitrogen fixation and interaction with plants. *Transaction of the Royal Society, London B* 317:183-192.

- Fages, J. 1991. Research and development notes. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria Newsletter 7:2.
- Fages, J., and Arzac, J. F. 1991. Sunflower inoculation with *Azospirillum* and other plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Plant and Soil 137:87-90.
- Fallik, E., Okon, Y., Epstein, E., Goldman, A. and Fischer, M. 1989. Identification and quantification of IAA and IBA in *Azospirillum brasilense* - inoculated maize roots. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 21:147-153.
- Givaudan, A., and Bally, R. 1991. Similarities between large plasmids of *Azospirillum lipoferum*. FEMS Microbiology Letters 78:245-252.
- Halsall, D.M. and Gibson, A.H. 1986. Comparison of two *Cellulomonas* strains and their interaction with *Azospirillum brasilense* in degradation of wheat straw and associated nitrogen fixation. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 51:855-861.
- Harris, J.M., Lucas, J.A., Davey, M.R., Lethbridge, G., and Powell, K.A. 1989. Establishment of *Azospirillum* inoculant in the rhizosphere of winter wheat. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 21:59-64.
- Hartmann, A., Singh, M., and Klingmüller, W. 1983. Isolation and characterization of *Azospirillum* mutants excreting high amounts of indoleacetic acid. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 29: 916-923.
- Jagnow, G. 1987. Inoculation of cereal crops and forage grasses with nitrogen-fixing rhizosphere bacteria: possible causes of success and failure with regard to yield response - a review. Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenk 150:361-368.
- Kucey, R. M. N. 1988. Plant growth-altering effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Bacillum* C-11-25 on two wheat cultivars. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 64: 187-196.
- Michiels, K., Vanderleyden, J. and Van Gool, A. 1989. *Azospirillum*-plant root associations: a review. Biology and Fertility of Soils 8:356-368.
- Michiels, K.W., Croes, C.L., and Vanderleyden, J. 1991. Two different modes of attachment of *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7 to wheat roots. Journal of General Microbiology 137:2241-2246.
- Murty, M.G. and Ladha, J.K. 1988. Influence of *Azospirillum* inoculation on the mineral uptake and growth of rice under hydroponic conditions. Plant and Soil 108: 281-285.

- Levanony, H. and Bashan, Y. 1991. Active attachment of *Azospirillum brasilense* to root surface of non-cereal plants and to sand particles. *Plant and Soil* 137: 91-97.
- Levanony, H., Bashan, Y., Romano, B., and Klein, E. 1989. Ultrastructural localization and identification of *Azospirillum brasilense* Cd on and within wheat root by immuno-gol labeling. *Plant and Soil* 117:207-218.
- Okon, Y., Heytler, P.G., and Hardy, R.W.F. 1983. N₂ fixation by *Azospirillum brasilense* and its incorporation into host *Setaria italica*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 46:694-697.
- Pedrosa, F. O. 1988. Physiology, biochemistry, and genetics of *Azospirillum* and other root-associated nitrogen-fixing bacteria. *CRC critical Reviews in Plant and Science* 6:345-384.
- Plazinski, J. and B. G. Rolfe. 1985. Influence of *Azospirillum* strains on the nodulation of clovers by *Rhizobium* strains. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 49: 984-989.
- Rennie, R.J., and Thomas, J.B. 1987. ¹⁵N-determined effect of inoculation with N₂ fixing bacteria on nitrogen assimilation on western Canadian wheats. *Plant and Soil* 100:213-223.
- Sarig, S., Blum, A., and Okon, Y. 1988. Improvement of the water status and yield of field-grow grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) by inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 110:271-277.
- Vande Broek, A., van Gool, A., and Vanderleyden, J. 1989. Electroporation of *Azospirillum brasilense* with plasmid DNA. *Fems Microbiology Letters* 61:177-182.
- Van Elsas, J.D. and Heijnen, C.E. 1990. Methods for the introduction of bacteria into soil: a review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 10:127-133.
- Yahalom, E., Y. Okon and A. Dovart. 1987. *Azospirillum* effects on susceptibility to *Rhizobium* nodulation and on nitrogen fixation of several forage legumes. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 33: 510-514.