ORIGINAL PAPER

Y Bashan -J. G. Dubrovsky

Azospirillum spp. participation in dry matter partitioning in grasses at the whole plant level

Received: 13 November 1995

Abstract Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, particularly those from the genus Azospirillum spp., may affect root functions such as growth and nutrient/water uptake, which in turn may affect shoot growth. Calculations based on data from literature on shoot and root mass of crop grasses (79 plant/bacteria associations were analyzed) revealed that inoculation with Azospirillum spp. increased the shoot-to-root (S/R) ratio in about half of reported cases and decreased the S/R ratio in the other half. In 11 of 35 cases, the S/R ratio increased when the shoot mass increased more than the root mass. In 23 of 35 cases, the root mass did not increase, yet the S/R ratio still increased. Thus, the increase in the S/R ratio indicated that shoot growth responds to inoculation more than root growth. A decrease in the S/R ratio occurred when (a) root growth dominated shoot growth even though both increased (16 of 36 cases), or (b) root growth either increased or remained unchanged, and shoot growth was either unaffected or even decreased (19 of 36 cases). This analysis suggests that: (a) Azospirillum spp. participates in the partitioning of dry matter (both carbon compounds and minerals) at the whole plant level . by affecting root functions, and (b) the bacteria affect crop grass through multiple mechanisms operating during plant development.

Key words *Azospirillum* spp. · Crop plants· Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria · Plant inoculation· Rhizosphere · Shoot-to-root ratio

Y Bashan (\boxtimes). J.G. Dubrovsky¹ Department of Microbiology,

Center for Biological Research of the Northwest (CIB),

La Paz, A. P 128, Baja California Sur 23000, Mexico Fax: (1 12) 54710 or (112) 53625; e-mail: bashan@cibnor.conacyt.mx

¹Present address: Department of Biochemistry, Center for Biological Research (CIB), La Paz, A. P 128, Baja California Sur 23000, Mexico

Introduction

Shoot-to-root ratio in plants is affected by the balance between shoot-specific (carbon supply) and root-specific (mineral and water uptake) activities (Davidson 1969; Thornley 1972; Agren and Ingestad 1987; Johnson and Thornley 1987). Various abiotic vehicles and biotic factors in the rhizosphere can affect root functioning (Peterson 1992) and in turn the S/R ratio. For example, temperature can significantly affect the Slit ratio (Davidson 1969; Larigauderie et al. 1991), and mycorrhizal fungi can increase the S/R ratio through increased .phosphorus uptake (Hall et al. 1984; Saif 1987).

Azospirillum is a rhizosphere bacterium that nonspecificcally increases plant growth and yield (Jagnow 1987; Bashan and Levanony 1990; Kennedy and Tchan 1992), and several modes of action have been proposed (for a recent review see Bashan 1993). Most proposed mechanisms attributed the bacterial effect to a change in a single factor such as a specific hormone, mineral or water uptake, or specific enzymatic activity. One proposed mechanism involves cumulative, multiple mechanisms which combine to the observed effects (Bashan produce and Levanony 1990); however, to date there has been little consideration of the plant as a whole, e.g., major postinoculation changes that occur in the important functions of the entire plant and which can be measured by changes in the root-to-shoot ratio. Evaluation of the changes in root or shoot mass without considering their ratio is not adequate to clarify the effects of the inoculation at the whole plant level. Simultaneous data on the S/R ratio (or the R/S ratio) and on changes in the root and shoot mass for inoculated plants are relatively rare (Ferreira et al. 1987; Morgersten and Okon 1987; Kucey 1988), but when combined together they contain information on the prevalence of shoot or root growth after inoculation.

The aims of this study were to: (a) analyze data from the literature to determine whether the effect of inoculation by *Azospirillum* spp. is a whole plant phenomenon rather than a root-site phenomenon, and (b) to see whether these

data support any of the proposed mechanisms suggested for the effect of *Azospirillum* spp. inoculation on plants. An abstract of this study has been presented elsewhere (Dubrovsky and Bashan 1993).

Methods

In the literature, the question of how Azospirillum spp. affects the SR ratio has not been addressed specifically. However, data concerning root and shoot dry weights are available in papers dealing with the effects of inoculation on various parameters of plant growth and development. The S/R calculations presented here are based on data from the international scientific literature. It should be noted that the increase or decrease in shoot-to-root ratio in inoculated plants may have occurred when both shoot mass and root mass increased (or decreased) even though each plant part changed its weight. Thus it is necessary for this type of analysis to simultaneously consider changes in dry mass partitioning with changes in the S/R ratio. Our calculations were made strictly from data which met the following criteria: (a) shoot and root mass data were obtained from the same plant species and from experiments in which both parameters were measured, (b) noninoculated and inoculated plants of the same age were maintained under similar environmental conditions, and (c) changes in root and shoot masses after inoculation were analyzed statistically by the original authors. Many references were not considered because they did not meet these exact criteria even though some of them contained data on dry mass partitioning.

Plant response to *Azospirillum* spp. inoculation varied greatly between plant species. To decrease this variability, only data from crop grasses were considered. A total of 79 individual cases of plant/bacteria association met the above criteria.

Results

The S/R ratios of noninoculated and inoculated plants were calculated (Table 1). The number of changes in shoot mass, root mass and the S/R ratio are presented in Table 2. These data demonstrate that *Azospirillum* spp. inoculation increased the S/R ratio in about half of reported cases and decreased the S/R ratio in the other half. The S/R ratio was unchanged in about 3% of the cases. The general distribution. pattern of the data of the 79 cases did not show a normal distribution. Further general analysis by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test showed no difference between the S/R ratio of inoculated and noninoculated plants.

In 11 of 35 cases, the S/R ratio increased when the shoot mass increased more than the root mass. In 23 of 35 cases, the root mass did not increase, but the S/R ratio still increased (Table 2). An increase in the S/R ratio indicates that shoot growth responds to inoculation more than root growth, suggesting increased efficiency of the root as an absorbing organ when colonized by *Azospirillum* spp.

A decrease in the S/R ratio occurred when (a) root growth dominated shoot growth, even though both increased (16 of 36 cases) or (b) when root growth either increased or remained unchanged, and shoot growth was either unaffected or even decreased (19 of 36 cases) (Table 2). The decrease in the S/R ratio suggests that *Azospirillum* spp. affects root functions by increasing root mass, which indirectly leads to an increase in shoot growth.

The ratios presented in Table 1 were further analyzed by dividing the S/R ratio of inoculated plants by the SIR ratio of noninoculated plants originating from the same experiments. For the 79 cases analyzed in this study, the new ratio (R/R, the ratio of the ratios) fits a normal distribution pattern and was 1 ± 0.3 (mean \pm SD, n = 79) (Fig. 1).

Discussion

The idea that the proportions of leaf, stem, shoot and root mass in most terrestrial plants should maintain a functional equilibrium is decades old (White 1937). Thus, factors participating in the partitioning of dry matter between the shoots and the roots are highly significant to the well being of the plant (Dewar 1993). The simplest equilibrium is between the shoot and the root material, expressed as the shoot:root ratio (S/R). Thornley's various models (Thornley 1972; Reynolds and Thornley 1982; Brugge and Thornley 1984) for dry matter partitioning between shoots and roots are currently the most acceptable theoretical models that explain and predict the responses of S/R to deficits of water, major nutrients, light and carbon dioxide, and to defoliation and root pruning. These models, however, cannot explain changes in S/R as a response to minor 'nutrients, nutrient toxicity or temperature differences (Wilson 1988). To the best of our knowledge, no current model explains the effect of inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on the whole plant. Our lack of knowledge on the mechanisms governing dry mass partitioning for plants in general is one of the main limitations in developing models to understand the function of the whole plant (Landsberg et al. 1991; Dewar 1993). The main obstacle is that dry matter partitioning is the result of numerous large and small processes at different organizational levels operating over a large period of time (such as assimilation and translocation of substances, respiration and substrate storage). These functions involve practically all of the internal. environmental and genetic factors controlling plant growth (Dewar 1993).

Inoculation of plants with Azospirillum spp. may involve all or part of the above factors. The proposed modes of action of this bacterium over the last 2 decades point up the possibility that perhaps there is no major mechanism involved. Bashan and Levanony (1990) suggested that perhaps more than one mechanism is involved at the same time, and that perhaps individual mechanisms are less significant when evaluated separately. For example, N2fixation contributes less than 5% of the observed effect of these bacteria on the plant (Lin et al. 1983), yet when combined with other factors, this may be a significant contribution. Only the combined activities of all the involved mechanisms may be responsible for the measured effects of *Azospirillum* spp. inoculation on plants. When the exact mechanism is unknown, it would be more practical to look at the effect of Azospirillum spp. on the whole plant rather than only at organ, tissue, cellular or subcellular levels. The literature analysis presented in this study pointed

Table 1 Changes in shoot-to-root ratio in plants inoculated withshoot and root dry weight; S- or R- statistically proven no change in Azospirillum spp. S shoot. R root, i and d statistically significant in-shoot and root dry mass, * statistically proven no change in the S/R crease and decrease in dry mass of root or shoot after inoculation, ratio compared to control, (i) and (d) statistically insignificant increase in

S and R	Plant/bacteria pairs	S/R ratio		References		
response		Control	Inoculation			
S/R increase						
SiRi	Sorghum bicolor x S. sudanense cv. Vidan/ A. brasilense	0.46	0.78	Kapulnik et al. 1981b ('Table 1)		
SiRi	Panicum miliaceum/A, brasilense (mixed strai	ns)0.81	1.16	Kapulnik et al. 1981b (Table 1)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Deganit/	0.94	1.13	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Deganit/	0.94	1.03	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cy. Tikal/A brasilense 245	0.87	1.11	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cy. Tikal/A brasilense Cd	0.87	1.18	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cy. Tikal/A brasilense 246	0.87	1.18	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Tridcum <i>aestivum</i> cy. Tikal/A. <i>brasilense</i> 67	0.87	0.95	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Cadet, line R2A/ A brasilense ATCC 29145	2.21	2.94	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Cadet, line R5D/ A. brasilense ATCC 29145	2.58	2.85	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S(i)R(i)	Sorghum bicolor x S. sudanense cv. Hazera 69 A. brasilense Cd	97/1.45	2.20	Morgersten and Okon 1987 (Table 2)		
SiR-	Triticum aestivum cv. Cadet, line R2A/ A brasilense ATCC 29145	2.55	5.50	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
SiR-	Orvza sativa cv. IR421 A linoferum 34 H	1 42	1 50	Murty and Ladh 1988 (Table 2)		
SiR-	Pennisetum americanum cv. Gahi 3/ A. brasilense Sp 13t SR2	4.39	6.18	Tier et al. 1979 (Table 2; 32 days)		
SiR-	Pennisetum americanum cv. Gahi 3/ A brasilense Sn 13t SR2	4.31	4.82	Tien et al. 1979 (Table 2; 12 days)		
SiR-	Zea mays cv. Dea/A. lipoferum CRTI	3.07	3.55	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 4, trial 2; 10^8 cfu m ¹)		
SiR-	Zea mays cv. Dea/A. lipoferum CRT	3.07	3.31	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 4, trial 2; 10^7 cfu ml ⁻¹)		
SiR-	Zea mays cv. Dea/A. lipoferum CRT	3.07	3.85	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 4. trial 2; 10^6 cfu ml ⁻¹)		
SiR-	Zea mays cy Eva/A, lipoferum CRTI	1.86	2.08	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 5)		
SiR-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Hazera 226/A. brasilense (mixed strains)	1.41	2.31	Kapulnik et al. 1981b (Table 3)		
SiR-	Setaria italica/A, brasilense Sp-7	3.50	3.75	Nur et al. 1980 (Table 2)		
SiR-	Triticum aestivum cv. Rescue, line C2D/ A. brasilense ATCC 29145	2.15	4.86	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum/A, brasilense Sp-7	1.26	1.32	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S-R-	Setaria italica/A. brasilense Cd-3	3.50	3.89	Nur et al. 1980 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Funk's G 522/ A: brasilense JM 125	3.80	4.21	Lee and Gaskins 1982 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Funk's G 522/ A. brasilense JM 125	3.36	3.57	Lee and Gaskins 1982 ('Fable 3)		
S-R-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Funk's G 522/ A. brasilense JM 125	2.96	3.39	Lee and Gaskins 1982 (Table 3)		
S-R-	Zea mays, H-708/A. brasilense, strain 1774	3.00	3.45	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Triticum dicoccum, AB genome/ A. brasilense, strain 1774.	3.17	3.97	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Festuca arundinacea cv. El Palenque/ strains of A. brasilense ATCC 29145 and A. lipoferum Sp G	3.23	3.57	Perotti et al. 1987 (Table 1; experiment 1)		
S-R-	<i>Festuca arundinacea</i> cv. El Palenque/ strains of <i>A. brasilense</i> ATCC 29145 and <i>A. lipoferum</i> Sp G	2.33	2.70	Perotti et al. 1987 (Table 1; experiment 2)		
S-R-	Zea mays cy. Marina /A linoferum CRTI	3.08	3.12	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 5)		
S-R-	Zea mays cv. Sirena /A. lipoferum CRT I	3.75	3.78	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 5).		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum cv. Carasinho/ A. brasilense Wa5	1.56	1.61	Christiansen-Weniger and Van Veen 1991 (Table 5)		
SdRd	Triticum aestivum/A. brasilense Sp 107	1.44	1.52	Ferreira et al. 1987 (Table 3, $N0_3$ l m <i>M</i> , experiment 1)		

	Table 1 (continued)	
--	---------------------	--

S and R	Plant/bacteria pairs	SIR ratio		References		
response		Control	Inoculation			
SIR decrease						
šiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Cadet /A. brasilense ATCC 29145	2.84	2.53	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
SiRi	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cv. Rescue, line C5B/ <i>A. brasilense</i> ATCC 29145	4.37	2.70	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Rescue, line C5D/ A. brasilense ATCC 29145	2.06	0.97	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table I)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivun cv. Iafit/A. brasilense (mixed strains)	1.07	0.83	Kapulnik et al. 1981b (Table 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum/A. brasilense Sp 245	1.26	1.19	Ferreira et al. 1987 (Table 3, $NO_3^{-1}0 \text{ m}M$ experiment 1)		
SiRi	Sorghum bicolor x S. sudanense cv. Hazera 697/ A. brasilense Cd	2.12	2.07	Morgersten and Okon 1987 (Table 2, 12 days)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum ev. Deganit/A. brasilense 28	0.94	0.85	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Setaria italica/A. brasilense Cd	3.50	2.82	Nur et al. 1980 (Table 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cy. Fidel/A hrasilense Sn Br 14	3.40	2.33	Warembourg et al. 1987 (Table 1)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Miriam/A hrasilense (mixed	14.0	3.45	Kapulnik et al. 1985 (Table 3)		
,	strains)		5.15	Empunit et ul. 1905 (Tuble 5)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Carasinho/A.brasilense C3	1.56	1.29	Christiansen-Weniger and Van Veen 1991 (Table 5)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Carasinho/A brasilense C5	1.56	1.19	repeat		
S(i)R(i)	Setaria italica/A. brasilense ATCC 29279	4.12	2.73	Kapulnik et al. 1981a (Fig. 4, 10 mg 1^{-1} NH ₄ NO ₂)		
SiRi	Setaria italica/A, brasilense ATCC 29279	8.30	3.00	repeat. 40 mg 1^{-1} NH ₄ NO ₂		
S(i)R(i)	Setaria italica/A brasilense ATCC 29279	2 94	2 74	repeat 100 mg 1^{-1} NH NO ₂		
$S(i)\mathbf{R}(i)$	Setaria italiaa/A. brasilense ATCC 29279	2.94	5.20	repeat 200 mg 1^{-1} NH NO.		
S(I)K(I)	Eastwag anum dinggagg av. El Dalangua/miyad	7.13	3.29	Dependent, 200 mg 1 mm4mO3		
5(1)K(1)	strains of	5.55	2.11	experiment 4)		
с D:	A. brasilense ATCC 29145 and A. upojerum sp G	2.24	1.94	Kanulnik at al. 1085 (Table 2)		
5-KI	(mixed strains)	2.24	1.84			
S-R1	A. brasilense Cd	2.15	1.86	Morgersten and Okon 1987 (Table 2, 20 days)		
S-Ri	Triticum aestivum/A. brasilense Sp 245	1.44	1.16	Ferreira et al. 1987 (Table 3, NO_3^- 1 m <i>M</i> , experiment 1)		
S-Ri	Triticum aestivum cv. Fielder/A. brasilense Cd	1.89	1.42	Kucey 1988 (Table 1)		
SdRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Chester/A. brasilense Cd	2.45	1.71	repeat		
S(d)R(i)	Festuca arundinacea cv. El Palenque/mixed strains of	2.33	2.08	Perotti et al. 1987 (Table 2; experiment 3)		
	A. brasilense ATCC 29145 and A. lipoferum sp G					
S(d)R(i)	Festuca arundinacea cv. EI Palenque/ndxed strains of	3.33	2.50	Perotti et al. 1987 (Table 2; experiment 5)		
	A. brasilense ATCC 29145 and A. lipoferum sp G					
S-R-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Funk's G 522/A. brasilense JM 125	4.14	4.07	Lee and Gaskins 1982 (Table 2; 105 mg 1 ⁻¹ NO ₃)		
S-R-	Zea mays/A. brasilense	3.68	3.14	Albrecht et al. 1981 (Table 2, +N)		
S-R-	Zea mays/A. brasilense	4.92	3.08	Albrecht et al. 1981 (Table 2, -N)		
S-R-	Setaria italica/A. brasilense Cd-1	3.50	2.82	Nur et al. 1980 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum cv. Cadet, line R5B/A. brasilens ATCC 29145	e 5.05	4.21	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum cv. Rescue/A. brasilense ATCC 29145	2.78	2.24	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum cv. Rescue, line C2A/A. brasilen. ATCC 29145	se6.28	2.94	Rennie and Larson 1979 (Table 1)		
S-R-	Triticum boeticum Boiss/A. brasilense, strain 1774	2.93	1.59	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Aegilops speltoides Tausch/A. brasilense, strain 177	49.53	5.33	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R	Triticum timopheevi Zhuk/A. brasilense, strain 177	43.42	2.37	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Dazypyrum villosum Candargi/A. brasilense, strain 1774	3.71	3.08	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum cv. Chinese Spring/A. brasilense strain 1774	, 3.80	3.19	Stancheva and Dinev 1992 (Table 2)		
SdRd	Triticum aestivum/A. brasilense Sp 7	1.44	1.27	Ferreira et al. 1987 (Table 3, $N0^{-1}$ m <i>M</i> experiment 1)		

Table 1 (continued)

S and R	Plant/bacteria pairs	S/R ratio		References		
response		Control	Inoculation			
No change in	SIR					
SiRi	Sorghum bicolor x S. sudanense cv. Hazera 697/ A, brasilense Cd	3.30	3.00	Morgersten and Okon 1987 (Table 1)*		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Tikal/A. brasilense 28	0.87	0.88	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Deganit/A. brasilense 246	0.94	0.93	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiRi	Triticum aestivum cv. Deganit/A. brasilense 67	0.94	0.91	Bashan et al. 1990 (Fig. 2)		
SiR-	Sorghum bicolor x S. sudanense cv. Hu= 697/ A. brasilense Cd	2.13	2.18	Morgersten and Okon 1987 ('Table 2)		
S-R-	Sorghum bicolor cv. Funk's G 522/A. brasilense JM 12	3.66	3.66	Lee and Gaskins 1982 (Table 2; $53 \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ NO}_{3}$)		
S-R-	Triticum aestivum/A. brasilense Sp 107	1.26	1.27	Ferreira et al. 1987 (Table 3, NO_3 10 mM, experiment 1)		
S-R-	Zea mays cv. Fulvia/A. lipoferum CRTI	2.70	2.66	Arsac et al. 1990 (Table 5)		

 Table 2
 Various cases of shoot and root dry mass change at increased and decreased S/R ratio in plants inoculated with Azospiril-Table 1
 Table 1

S/R ratio increased			S/R ratio decreased				No change					
SO	SiR-	S-R-	SdRd	SiRi	S-Ri	SdRi	S-R-	SdRd	SiRi	SiR-	S-R-	_
11	11	12	1	16	4	3	12	1	4	1	3	



Fig. 1 Distribution of S/R ratio in inoculated plants vs noninoculated plants, calculated from data presented in T able 1. The calculation was made by dividing the S/R ratio of inoculated plants by the S/R ratio of noninoculated plants of the same experiment. Counts are the numbers of individual cases sharing the same ratio in different experiments

out that the general effect of *Azospirillum* spp. on the entire plant was largely overlooked. From the changes the bacteria produces in the S/R ratio, it appears that it also participates (in a mechanism as yet unknown) in the partitioning of carbon compounds within the plant, in addition to its experimentally proven ability to enhance water and mineral uptake by the plant (Murty and Lada 1988; Sarig et al. 1988; Bashan et al. 1990).

There are hundreds of reports on the effects of *Azospirillum* spp. on yield and plant parameters. However, most are presented from an agronomic (changes in the size of the plant part) or economic (yield per unit area) point of

view (Jagnow 1987; Basher and Levanony 1990), rather than from a physiological point of view. This analysis revealed the possibility that when investigating a particular controlling mechanism (such as hormonal effects by the bacteria, a particular enzymatic activity or a new phenotype derived from genetic engineering), one should also consider evaluating these agronomic parameters as they are reflected by changes in the S/R ratios. An S/R ratio is easily and quickly measurable and provides information about whether the bacteria affect plant growth.

Thus, from the data presented in Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 1, we believe that *Azospirillum* spp. may affect root functions in two major ways: (a) by increasing the efficiency of the root as an absorbing organ (increased S/R ratio in 23 out of 35 cases where there was no increase in root mass) and/or (b) by increasing root mass relative to shoot mass (decreased S/R ratio in 23 out of 36 cases where root mass increased). In both types of response, inoculation increased shoot growth.

In conclusion, this analysis of the literature (a) suggests that future physiological studies on *Azospirillum* spp. should look at the bacteria's effect at the whole plant level and (b) provides experimental data (although collected from many diverse studies) that the mode of action of *Azospirillum* spp. is probably composed of multiple, smaller mechanisms as previously suggested (Basher and Levanony 1990).

Acknowledgements We thank Dr: Roy Bowers for meticulously clarifying the English and Mr. Edgar Yuen from our library and Mr. Gerardo Toledo for helping in collecting literature. Yoav Bashan participated in this analysis in the memory of the late Mr. Avner Bashan from Israel, who encouraged agricultural research. This paper was partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnologia (CONACyT), Mexico, grant #3541-A.

References

- Ågren GI, Ingestad T (1987) Root:shoot ratio as a balance between nitrogen productivity and photosynthesis. Plant Cell Environ 10: 579-586
- Albrecht SL, Okon Y, Lonnquist 1, Burris R H (1981) Nitrogen fixation by corn-Azospirillum associations in a temperate climate. Crop Sci 21:301-306
- Arsac JF, Lamonthe C, Mulard D, Fages J (1990) Growth enhancement of maize (*Zea mays* L.) through *Azospirillum lipoferum* inoculation: effect of plant genotype and bacterial concentration. Agronomie 10:640-654
- Bashan Y (1993) Potential use of *Azospirillum* as biolertilizer. Turrialba 43:286-291
- Bashan Y, Harrison K, Whitmoyer RE (1990) Enhanced growth of wheat and soybean plants inoculated with *Azospirillumbrasilense* is not necessarily due to general enhancement of mineral uptake. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:769-775
- Bashan Y, Levanony H (1990) Current status of Azospirillum inoculation technology: Azospirillum as a challenge for agriculture, Can J Microbiol 36:591-608
- Brugge R, Thornley JHM (1984) Shoot-root-nodule partitioning in a vegetative legume a model. Ann Bot 54:653-671
- Christiansen-Weniger C, Van Veen JA (1991) NH₄⁺-excreting *Azospirillum brasilense* mutants enchance the nitrogen supply of wheat host. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:3006-3012
- Davidson RL (1969) Effect of root/leaf temperature differentials on root/shoot ratios in some pasture grasses and clover. Ann Bot 33: 561-569
- Dewar RC (1993) A root-shoot partitioning model based on carbonnitrogen-water interactions and Munch phloem flow. Funct Ecol 7:356-368
- Dubrovsky JG, Bashan Y (1993) Shoot-to-root ratio in plants inoculated with *Azospirillum*. 4th International Symposium on Structure and Function of Roots. 20-26 June 1993. Stare Lesna, Slovakia, p32
- Ferreira MCB, Fernandes MS, Döbertiner J (1987) Role of *Azospirillum brasilense* nitrate reductase in nitrate assimilation by wheat plants. Biol Fertil Soils 4:47-53
- Hall IR, Johnstone PD, Dolby R (1984) Interactions between endomycorrhizas and soil nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth of ryegrass. New Phytol 97:447-X153
- Jagnow G (1987) Inoculation of cereal crops and forage grasses with nitrogen-fixing rhizosphere bacteria: possible causes of success and failure with regard to yield response - a review. Z Pflanzenernaehr Bodenkd 150:361-368
- Johnson IR, Thornley JHM (1987) A model of shoot:root partitioning with optimal growth. Ann Bot 60:133-142
- Kapulnik Y, Okon Y, Kigel J, Nur I, Henis Y (1981a) Effects of temperature, nitrogen fertilization, and plant age on nitrogen fixation by *Setaria italica* inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense* (strain Cd). Plant Physiol 68:340-343
- Kapulnik Y, Kigel J, Okon Y, Nur I, Henis Y (1981b) Effect of *Azo-spirillum* inoculation on some growth parameters and N-content of wheat, sorghum and panicum. Plant and Soil 61:65-70

- Kapulnik Y, Gafny R, Okon Y (1985) Effect of *Azospirillum* spp. Inoculation on root development and NO₃⁻ uptake in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Miriam) in a hydroponic system. Can J Bot 63:627-631
- Kennedy IR, Tchan YT (1992) Biological nitrogen fixation in non-leguminous field crops: recent advances. Plant and Soil 141: 93-118
- Kucey RMN (1988) Plant growth-altering effects of Azospirillum brasilense and Bacillus C-11-25 on two wheat cultivars. J Appl Bacteriol 64:187-196
- Landsberg JJ, Kaufmann MR. Binkley D, Isebrands J, Jarvis PG (1991) Evaluating progress towards closed forest models based on fluxes of carbon, water and nutrients. Tree Physiol 9:1-15
- Larigauderie A, Ellis BA. Mills JN, Kummerow J (1991) The effect of root and shoot temperatures on growth of *Ceanothus greggii* seedlings. Ann Boar 67:97-101
- Lee KJ, Gaskins MH (1982) Increased mot exudation of ¹⁴C-compounds by sorghum seedlings inoculated with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Plant and Soil 69:391-399
- Lin W, Okon Y, Hardy RWF (1983) Enhanced mineral uptake by Zea mays and Sorghum bicolor roots inoculated with Azospirillum brasilense. A ppl Environ Microbiol 45:1775-1779
- Morgersten E, Okon Y (1987) Promotion of plant growth and N03 and RV uptake in *Sorghum bicolor&Sorghum sudanense* inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense*-Cd. Arid Soil Res Rehabilit 1:211-217
- Murty MG, Ladha JK (1988) Influence of *Azospirillum* inoculation on the mineral uptake and growth of rice under hydroponic conditions. Plant and Soil 108:281-285
- Nur I, Okon Y, Henis Y (1980) An increase in nitrogen content of Setaria italica end Zea mays inoculated with Azospirillum. Can J Microbiol 26:482-485
- Perotti E, Cortés V, Chapo G, Menendez L, Pidello A (1987) Effect of Azospirillum inoculation on some Festuca plant and rhizosphere soil parameters in laboratory conditions. Rev Lat-Amer Microbiol 29:201-205
- Peterson RL (1992) Adaptations of root structure in relation to biotic and abiotic factors. Can J Bot 70:661-675
- Rennie RL, Larson RI (1979) Dinitrogen fixation associated with disomic chromosome substitution lines of spring wheat. Can J Bot 57:2771-2775
- Reynolds JF, Thornley JHM (1982) A shoot:root partitioning model. Ann Bot 49:585-597
- Saif SR (1987) Growth responses of tropical forage plant species to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. L Growth. mineral uptake and mycorrhizal dependency. Plant and Soil 97:25-35
- Sarig S, Blum A, Okon Y (1988) Improvement of the water status and yield of field-grown grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) by inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense. J Agric Sci 110:271-277
- Stancheva I, Dinev N (1992) Effects of inoculation of maize and species of tribe *Trinceae* with *Azospirillum brasilense*. J Plant Physiol 140:550-552
- Thornley JHM (1972) A balanced quantitative model for root:shoot ratios in vegetative plants. Ann Bot 36:431-441
- Tien TM, Gaskins MK Hubbel DH (1979) Plant growth substances produced by *Azospirillum brasilense* and their effect on the growth of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). Appl Environ Microbiol 37:1016-1024
- Warembourg FR. Dressen R, Vlassak K, Lafont F (1987) Peculiar effect of Azospirillum inoculation on growth and nitrogen balance of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Biol Fertil Soils 4:55-59
- White HL (1937) The interaction of factors in the growth of lemma. XII. The interaction of nitrogen and light intensity in relation to root length. Ann Rot 1:649-654
- Wilson JB (1988) A review of evidence on the control of shoot:root ratio, in relation to models. Ann Bot 61:433-449