

Arbuscular mycorrhizas and agrosystem stability

G.J. Bethlenfalvay and H. Schüepp¹

US Dept. of Agriculture, Agric. Research Service, Horticultural Crops Research Lab, Corvallis, OR 97330, USA

¹Swiss Federal Research Station, CH-8820 Wädenswil, Switzerland

Introduction

An agrosystem consists of plant roots, the soil microflora, the soil fauna and the abiotic geochemical soil matrix. Plant shoots, as its source of energy, also form an integral part of the system. In keeping with the role of plants as providers of food and fiber, functions of fundamental societal importance, the agrosystem has been traditionally used, treated and evaluated from a phytocentric and edaphic point of view in agriculture. On a scale of priorities, the soil has always taken second place, with its function of support subordinate to that of the plant, the primary producer. This perception is undergoing radical change in our times. We now recognize the importance of soil not only as an agricultural 'resource base' (Stewart et al., 1991), but as a complex, living, and fragile system that must be protected (Reganold et al., 1990) and managed for its own sake (Pierce and Lal, 1991) to guarantee its long-term stability and productivity. Articulated scientifically in the early 1980s (Bezdicsek and Power, 1984; Jackson, 1980; Rodale, 1983), sustainability has a long history in agriculture (Harwood, 1990), and has deep roots in societal consciousness also.

In agricultural research, the goals of sustainability may be summarized in their briefest form as 'maximum plant production with a minimum of soil loss'. Within this context of balanced agrosystem inputs and outputs (Hornick and Parr, 1987), the role of the arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) have been described as that of a fundamental link between plant and soil (Bethlenfalvay and Linderman, 1992; Miller and Jastrow, 1994; O'Neil et al., 1991). In keeping with its importance, the symbiotic association between AMF and their host plants and host soils, and its impact on agrosystem stability, is currently subject to intensive investigation. This work has been reviewed with focus on: (1) plant growth and plant ecology (Barea and Jeffries, 1994; Bethlenfalvay, 1992; Sieverding, 1991), (2) plant health and biocontrol (Linderman, 1992, 1994;

Schönbeck and Dehne, 1989), and (3) cultural (Johnson and Pflieger, 1992; Kurle and Pflieger, 1994; Miller and Jastrow, 1992a) and environmental (Sylvia and Williams 1992; Wright and Millner 1994) plant stress. Recently, perhaps inspired by the impact of sustainability, the AMF have also come to life in the review literature as soil symbionts and agrosystem stabilizers (Bethlenfalvai and Svejcar, 1991; Finlay and Sönderström, 1992; Miller and Jastrow, 1992b; Tisdall, 1991). But no attempt had been made so far to integrate the information available on the interactions between specific AMF isolates and specific groups of soil biota with agrosystem stability.

The purpose of this contribution is to discuss the current concept of mycorrhizal effectiveness and to refocus it by making it applicable not only to the host plant but to the entire agrosystem, within the context of sustainability in agriculture.

Mycorrhizal efficiency and benefits to the agrosystem: changing views

The contributions of AMF in natural or disturbed ecosystems and in experimentation under controlled conditions have been traditionally measured by plant response (Asai, 1943; Gerdeman, 1968; Jeffries, 1987; Pflieger and Linderman, 1994; Schlicht, 1889; Stahl, 1900). The more an AMF was able to improve plant growth relative to other isolates under a given set of conditions, the more 'effective' it was said to be. In turn, the better an arbuscular mycorrhizal plant could approximate growth by an optimally fertilized non-arbuscular-mycorrhizal plant (Abbot and Robson, 1991), the more 'benefits' it was said to derive from its symbiotic status. These benefits (mycotrophy, or mycorrhizal dependence) were evaluated in terms of gains derived from mycotrophic P import against the price paid in reduced carbon by the plant to support its obligatorily biotrophic endophyte (Fitter, 1991; Koide, 1991).

Such an analysis of cost-benefit relationships from the plant's point of view continues to be of interest to agriculture and above-ground ecology, and is particularly applicable to a demonstration of host-endophyte relationships under the conditions of two-component agrosystems that consist only of the mycorrhiza and the sterilized soil used in most mycorrhiza experiments. In the field, however, the relationship between host plants and AMF is altered by the other biotic components of the agrosystem (Fitter, 1985; Hetrick et al., 1988; Safir, 1994), which permit measurable benefits to accrue to the plant only under particular conditions of growth (Fitter, 1986).

The extent to which the concept of mycorrhizal benefit is influenced by phytocentric thinking is illustrated by two important recent studies. Hetrick et al. (1992) found that a decline in dry weight was related to a loss of mycorrhizal dependence in modern wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. These authors suggested that mycorrhizal dependency should be considered in breeding programs (Hetrick et al., 1993). In doing so, they equated mycorrhizal benefit with mycorrhizal dependence of the host plant, as if mycorrhizal contributions to the soil in which that plant grows were

irrelevant. In the second study, Johnson (1993) suggested that an understanding of the mechanisms that let AMF enhance or inhibit plant growth is necessary for managing ecosystems. This view was based on findings that cultural practices select AMF that are inferior mutualists (Johnson et al., 1992). Johnson (1993) recommended a manipulation of AMF communities favouring proliferation of the most beneficial isolates with regard to plant yield instead of the inferior ones that contribute to yield decline. Again, the suggestion does not consider that yield, in the long run, depends on the quality of the soil.

We fully agree with these authors that plant growth response to AMF is important in agriculture, but wish to emphasize that there is more to mycorrhizal benefit than just the yield increase derived from mycotrophy. Stribley's verdict (1989): "mycorrhizal inoculants have failed to fulfil their promise because currently there is little promise to fulfil" was apparently based on the assumption that plant growth enhancement is all that AMF affect in the agrosystem. However, the full range of the promise is far from being elucidated, for many of the benefits are hidden below-ground. It is our hope that our discussion here will contribute to a better understanding of both the promise and the benefits, since the latter clearly fill a current conceptual as well as a practical niche in sustainable agriculture. These extra benefits may be summed up as 'agrosystem stability'. They accrue to plant and soil alike and cannot be weighed at harvest on a scale of dry weights. They result from the inseparable, complex processes that unite all components of the agrosystem, and represent a new agenda for agriculture (Board on Agriculture, 1993).

AMF and soil structure

Shifting the focus from the plant to the agrosystem A message to agriculture fifty years ago advised that "the presence of an effective mycorrhizal symbiosis is essential to plant health" (Howard, 1943). Now, looking back on hundreds of research reports and an extensive collection of mycorrhiza books, it seems that the time to fully appreciate this message has come, if only because the challenge posed by the complexity of interacting, interdependent factors that have a bearing on rhizosphere research is now more clearly delineated (Linderman and Paulitz, 1990; Schroth and Weinhold, 1986). Plant health and productivity are rooted in the soil, and the quality of soil depends on the diversity and viability of its biota (Doran and Linn, 1994; Visser, 1985) which shape the structures that support a stable and healthy agrosystem.

The interest shown by increasing numbers of mycorrhiza workers in the interactions of AMF with the soil and its biota is therefore not so much a sign of masochist's delight in grappling with unmanageably complex systems (Schroth and Weinhold, 1986), but stems from the needs and priorities of the agriculture of our times. In this sense, that the inclusion of soil structure into mycorrhiza research is a necessity whose time has finally arrived. Since Tisdall and Oades (1979) first reported that aggregate stability and AMF status are related in agricultural soils, work has

advanced at three levels: (1) collection of evidence for the relationship, (2) elucidation of its mechanisms, and (3) the integration of its process into agricultural concepts. What is largely lacking is a realization of theory in practice.

Impact of mycorrhizas on soil aggregation The roster of reports of AMF effects on agricultural soils is still minuscule compared to the wealth of information available on plant responses. It starts with the pioneering work of Tisdall and Oades (1979, 1980a) on the relationships between crop rotation, fallowing and soil stability, showing a connection between the extent of the soil mycelium and macroaggregate formation and stabilization by arbuscular mycorrhizal roots (Tisdall and Oades 1980b). It moves on to Miller's extensive field studies (1984, 1987) on prairie reconstruction. Miller, in collaboration with Jastrow, reported in a series of important papers how the affinity between mycorrhizas and soil aggregates varies with root characteristics, with the intensity of root colonization, and with the amount of soil mycelium associated with the roots. They further elucidated, or contributed to the understanding of, the mechanisms of the formation of water-stable aggregates (Jastrow, 1987; Miller and Jastrow, 1990; Miller and Jastrow, 1992a and b; Miller and Jastrow, 1994). Thomas et al. (1986, 1993) experimented on effects of AMF on soil in pot cultures. They found that root and fungus effects are difficult to separate, but that the soil mycelium alone is capable to bring about soil effects equivalent to those of roots, while roots and fungi together affect soil aggregation synergistically.

Mycorrhizas and the mechanism of aggregate formation Articles discussing the concept of mycorrhiza contributions to soil structure are as numerous as those offering empirical data. The major recent reviews (Finlay and Sönderstöm, 1992; Miller and Jastrow, 1992a and b, 1994; Tisdall, 1991) agree that all of the biotic components of the agrosystem interact in the forming of its abiotic matrix from the parent materials (Robert and Berthelin, 1986; Emerson et al., 1986), but there are few findings, if any, that show interactions between specific groups of soil organisms and AMF isolates in the aggradative process (Jastrow and Miller, 1991). While each soil organism may have a necessary function in soil structure formation, fungi and filamentous actinomycetes had been shown to be most effective in binding soil particles into crumbs (Harris et al., 1966), even before Tisdall and Oades (1982) developed their concept of aggregate organization with its important niche for AMF.

The contribution of AMF hyphae to soil aggregation was summarized by Miller and Jastrow (1994) as consisting of three related steps. First, hyphal growth into the soil matrix creates the skeletal structure that holds the primary soil particles together through physical entanglement. Second, roots and hyphae together create the physical and chemical conditions and produce organic and amorphous materials (Gupta and Germida, 1988; Tisdall, 1991) for the binding of particles. Third, hyphae and roots enmesh microaggregates into macroaggregate structures. Once formed,

the aggregates enhance carbon and nutrient storage (Elliott, 1986; Gupta and Germida, 1988; Cambardella and Elliott, 1993, 1994) and provide microhabitats for soil microorganisms (Foster, 1994; Tisdall, 1991). The quality and size distribution of the aggregates affects pore size distribution (Elliott and Coleman, 1988) and the pores offer improved access to the hyphae for grazing by soil invertebrates (Ingham, 1992). Although there is considerable evidence that interactions between the soil biota and AMF may have negative effects on plants (Hetrick et al., 1988; Ingham, 1988; Rabatin and Stinner, 1991; Ross, 1980), complementary effects of these interactions on the soil and its stability are little-known. One may speculate that these soil responses are largely positive, since they involve enhanced carbon input (Finlay and Sönderström, 1992; Hepper, 1975; Lynch and Whipps, 1991; Wright and Millner, 1994) from plant to soil. Ultimately, however, this loss of carbon by the plant not only improves soil quality, but also benefits plant growth (Burns and Davies, 1986).

Mycorrhizal effectiveness measured by soil responses Because of its importance to both plant and soil, aggregate stability has been suggested as a measure of AMF effectiveness in agroecology (Bethlenfalvay et al., 1988; Bethlenfalvay and Newton, 1991). This idea was expanded by Tisdall (1991), who described the characteristics of effective AM fungal soil stabilizers as (1) the production of greater quantities of more persistent and sticky mucilage, (2) bonding by hydrophobic bonds and polyvalent cations to clay platelets, (3) preferential interactions with plants, microorganisms and animals, (4) effective orientation of clay particles, (5) vigorous soil penetration, and (6) the production of a profuse soil mycelium. Tisdall's list supplements the selection guide for AMF effective in promoting plant growth (Abbott and Robson, 1984a, 1991) and refocuses priorities of mycorrhiza research in sustainable agriculture.

AMF and the soil biota

Different effects on plant and soil? Much effort has gone during the past ten years into the integration of the combined effects of specific plant–fungus combinations and specific groups of soil biota with basic and applied aspects of plant science (Azcón-Aguilar and Barea, 1992; Bagyaraj, 1984; Hendrix et al., 1990; Ingham, 1992; Miller, 1990; Paulitz and Linderman, 1991; Reid, 1990; Tinker, 1984). At the same time, soil biota effects on mycorrhiza formation have also received attention (Azcón et al., 1990; Linderman, 1992; Rabatin and Stinner, 1991). Much less is known, however, about the interactions between specific AMF isolates and distinct groups of soil organisms as it relates to soil structure, even though these interactions had been conceptualized thoroughly in general terms (Burns and Davies, 1986; Newman, 1985; Oades, 1984; Tisdall, 1991). We feel therefore confident in predicting that many experimental designs of future mycorrhiza research will include evaluations of soil responses as routinely as they now report

determinations of root colonization. If stimulation of the rhizosphere biota and the resulting improvement of soil structure is in fact an evolutionary mechanism that imparts competitive advantages to plants (Burns and Davies, 1986), then a holistic approach to the joint study of arbuscular mycorrhizal plant and soil responses is indeed an absolute necessity.

The goal of improving or restoring disturbed agrosystems may be approached by studying the conditions that provide stability in natural systems and use it as a model to reconstruct (Linderman, 1986) the disturbed system. Alternatively, a manipulation of the disturbed system may be tried to achieve specific, limited ends. These ends have been production-oriented in the past (Cooke, 1982; Hatfield and Karlen, 1994). Soil microbes have been tried, with or without AMF, to suppress plant pathogens, to control plant pests, to enhance plant nutrition, to promote plant growth, or to relieve environmental stress to plants. Now, regardless of its promise for enhancing plant production, a new agenda for agriculture advises and prescribes that each new biotechnique to be employed in agriculture be also scrutinized as to its effects on agrosystem stability (Board on Agriculture, 1993). This will take some rethinking of the premises, for the use of beneficial soil microorganisms as tools for the control of deleterious ones is evaluated by plant effects (Schippers et al., 1987). Let us speculate here, if only to stimulate controversy, that favorable plant responses to rhizosphere manipulation may not always be accompanied by beneficial soil responses, and that conversely, conditions may exist where processes favorable to soil stability may be unfavorable to plant growth, at least initially.

What are some of these processes in agrosystem biology that may affect plant production and soil stability differently? Among many, we will single out three examples: (1) microbe-mediated nutrient uptake and soil pH, (2) stimulatory or antagonistic relationships between AMF and soil microbes, and (3) soil fauna effects on the mycorrhiza and its microbial associates.

Nutrient uptake and soil pH Long-known as enhancers of symbiotic N₂ fixation in P-deficient soils (Asai, 1948; Mosse et al., 1976; Barea and Azcón-Aguilar, 1982), AMF have also been shown to affect N uptake from soil (Ames et al., 1983; Azcón et al., 1991; Johansen et al., 1992), although a preference for the form of N has not yet been conclusively demonstrated (Barea et al., 1987; Azcón et al., 1992; Vaast and Zasoski, 1992). Frey and Schüepp (1993a) showed, in a cuvette system with root-free soil compartments, separated from the confined rhizosphere of maize plants, that ¹⁵N was taken up by the soil mycelium of AMF after addition of (¹⁵NH₄)₂SO₄ to the soil to be transported in considerable amounts to plant roots being several centimetres apart from the site of application. Similarly N also can be transported via mycorrhizal hyphae from plant to plant (Frey and Schüepp, 1993b). The soil mycelium of AMF also provide channels for transfer of fixed N from legume to non-legume plants (Frey and Schüepp, 1992). This could be demonstrated in a cuvette system separating the nodulated roots of *Trifolium alexandrinum* from the rhizosphere of maize. Further studies are needed to elucidate the impact of AMF in N cycling in

relation to N fixation. The function of AMF concerning the N cycle should not be reduced to the N nutrition of the plant by hyphal N uptake or N transport. AMF must be regarded in the dynamic processes resulting in the temporary immobilization of N within its biomass and the N mineralisation at phases of decomposition of arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelium. N losses from the root system and from the soil to the ground water may be reduced or enhanced by mycorrhizal activity.

While soil biologists are said to be more preoccupied with the tripartite legume association than with any other biological process (Lynch, 1987), an increasing number of bacteria with N_2 -fixing capabilities are also being discovered. This provides the challenge of supplying, perhaps by means of AMF hyphae (Barea et al., 1992), nonlegumes with biologically-derived N (Döbereiner, 1989; Zuberer, 1990). In addition, an increase in associative diazotroph populations in the presence of AMF (Bagyaraj, 1984) may also improve soil quality, since aggregate stability can be proportional to the biomass of cells present (Lynch, 1987).

Biologically-fixed N always improves productivity, since N availability is one of the major limiting factors in agriculture. However, when N input is in the form of NH_4-N , as is the case with N_2 fixation, extrusion of H^+ and of organic acids is prevalent and results in an acidification of the growth medium not only in the rhizosphere (Marschner and Römheld, 1983; Marschner et al., 1987) but also in the entire mycorrhizosphere (Li et al., 1991). Soil pH effects on AMF have long been known (Wang et al., 1993), but it is little known to what extent mycorrhizas and their associated microflora may create, control, and maintain the pH of their environment through exudation (Schwab et al., 1991) and CO_2 levels (Knight et al., 1989) in the absence of soil disturbance. Elevated soil pH, however, affects the stability of aggregates (Oades, 1984; Reid and Goss, 1981) as well as the composition of the soil microflora (Harris et al., 1966). In fact, negative effects on soil aggregation by legume cropping have been documented (Alberts et al., 1985; Lafflen and Moldenhauer, 1979). Soil loss, however is determined by many aggregating and disaggregating forces (Gisch and Browning, 1948; Strickling, 1950), is influenced by climatic, edaphic, cropping and tillage factors, and each of these affect the processes of soil biology. It is therefore not surprising that the connection between soil loss and legume cropping is unresolved (Alberts and Wendt, 1985), but the phenomenon serves as an example how mycorrhiza-microbe relationships may affect cost-benefit ratios in production and conservation.

Mycorrhiza-microbe interactions and their effects on plant and soil An important function of the arbuscular mycorrhizal soil mycelium is the transport of carbon to microbial communities (Jakobsen and Rosendahl, 1990). This is especially significant when root density is low (Abbott and Robson, 1984b), since the hyphae can penetrate several centimeters of soil (Camel et al., 1991) and reach the microfauna of the bulk soil outside the influence of the rhizosphere (Finlay and Sönderström, 1992). In view of their role as mediators of carbon flow

(Whipps, 1990), one would expect the influence of AMF on soil microbes to be positive. This is not always the case, however. Many studies have shown that AMF may alter the soil microflora (Ames, et al., 1984; Bagyaraj and Menge, 1978; Christensen and Jakobsen, 1993; Meyer and Linderman, 1986; Secilia and Bagyaraj, 1987) by stimulating as well as inhibiting total bacterial counts or selected bacterial groups. Soil microbes, in turn, may promote (Ames, 1989; Azcón, 1989, Azcón et al., 1990; Azcón-Aguilar et al., 1986; Staley et al., 1992; Vejsadová et al., 1993) or antagonize (Azcón et al., 1990; Bethlenfalvay et al., 1985; Dhillon, 1992; Krishna et al., 1982) mycorrhiza development.

How do these complex interactions affect plant production and soil stability? A stimulation of plant growth may be achieved by manipulating specific groups of organisms, such as phosphate solubilizing (Azcón-Aguilar et al., 1986) or diazotrophic (Paula et al., 1992) bacteria, or rhizobacteria that promote plant growth by various mechanisms (Burr and Caesar, 1984). However, when the arbuscular mycorrhizal plant is grown in the field, subject to many influences at the same time, growth stimulation by AMF becomes elusive (Fitter, 1991; Hetrick et al., 1988, Ross, 1980). This led some workers to conclude that it is the soil microflora that regulates mycorrhiza formation and plant growth response, regardless of the AMF isolates present (Hetrick and Wilson, 1991). One must keep in mind, however, that the 'growth response' is only one of the ways to evaluate the AMF effect on plants (Koide and Schreiner, 1992), let alone the agrosystem, of which the plant is but one component.

The absence of a plant growth response to AMF, or a negative one, was interpreted as a loss of carbon by the plant, which outweighs the mutualistic advantage of enhanced P uptake by the endophyte (Fitter, 1991). This form of parasitism has been viewed traditionally as a lack of arbuscular mycorrhizal efficiency, and in a wider sense, as a lack of application potential for AMF in agriculture (Stribley, 1989). From the point of view of agrosystem stability, on the other hand, the gain of carbon by the soil represents an increase in substrate availability, resulting in greater microbial activity (Kirchner et al., 1993) and increased organic matter content and soil stability.

Seen in this context, one may even ascribe useful (agrosystem-stabilizing) functions to mycoparasites: although the parasites may limit AMF populations and thereby reduce plant growth (Paulitz and Menge, 1986; Ross and Ruttencutter, 1977), they may also stimulate hyphal regrowth, thus further increasing carbon flux and microbial activity in the soil. Seen from this angle, the utility of chemical control of mycoparasites (Sylvia and Schenck, 1983), may be revised, using soil aggregation measurements as an alternate tool for the evaluation of mycoparasite effects. Microbial biomass and activity (Dinel et al., 1992) play an important role in the formation and stability of soil aggregates, and promise a wide range of applications for AMF and their associated microflora.

Mycorrhizas and the soil fauna Invertebrates and AMF are ubiquitous and abundant

cohabitants of the soil environment. Together they fill important functions in processes which regulate nutrient availability and mineralization (Ingham, 1992). Interactions between the major groups of fungivorous soil invertebrates (nematodes, springtails, mites and microarthropods) have been reviewed (Fitter and Sanders, 1992; Paulitz and Linderman, 1991; Rabatin and Stinner, 1991) and discussed in ecological (McGonigle and Fitter, 1988) and agricultural (Sylvia and Williams, 1992) settings. Grazing by invertebrates on the soil mycelium of AMF may limit its development or disconnect it from the root mycelium, but it may also stimulate its growth (Fitter and Sanders, 1992). Damage to the hyphal network would result in an impairment of nutrient uptake, a preponderance of root- over soil-mycelial biomass. Stimulation of hyphae and spore production, on the other hand, would be beneficial to the agrosystem and to plant growth. Such positive effects have been reported with springtails (Harris and Boerner, 1990) and nematodes (Ingham, 1988), and related to grazer density and grazing intensity (Moore, 1988). Plant growth may have been affected by the increased mineralization or mobilization of nutrients by the grazers (Finlay, 1985; Ingham et al., 1985; Harris and Boerner, 1990). Alternatively, the removal of senescing soil mycelia by the grazers may have resulted in the elimination of growth-inhibitory secondary metabolites (Moore, 1988).

The consequences of such trophic interactions between the soil fauna and AMF on soil aggregation specifically, are little-known. Generally, however, all biota found within the agrosystem were shown to contribute to the development of soil structure (Jastrow and Miller, 1991). In mycorrhiza research, it remains to be seen how the soil fauna affects the cost-benefit ratio of plant or soil development as they relate to agrosystem stability.

Summary and conclusions

An agrosystem is that part of the larger (natural or agricultural) agroecosystem that may be subject to experimental control, and where roots, the soil microflora and the soil fauna interact to support plant growth and to form a stable soil matrix. An agroecosystem is sustainable when the biotic components of the agrosystem are in balance. In disturbed ecosystems, this balance depends on the goals of land management: production or conservation. The two goals may be combined if the agricultural manager understands the biological complexity of the land under his stewardship.

Among the multitude of organisms that make up the agrosystem, AMF stands out because of its ability to form a bridge between plant and soil. These fungi penetrate and colonize the cells of host-plant roots, while their soil hyphae are in intimate contact with the microbiota that inhabit soil aggregates and contribute to soil structure formation. By mediating nutrient fluxes between plant and soil, the fungi influence both plant growth and health and the development of communities of soil organisms. In the course of experimental manipulation of the agrosystem, complex relationships between organisms manifest themselves that can be stimulatory, antagonistic, or

both, depending on the circumstances. Such relationships may be real or artefacts of artificial environments, and their effects may be beneficial or deleterious to plant and soil in divergent ways, at least initially and in passing. It is one of the challenges of agricultural and ecological research to draw valid inferences from such transient effects achieved under controlled conditions to the reality of the field.

In the field of agriculture, sustainability has become the paradigm of our time, and in biological research sustainability means plant production without soil loss. For mycorrhiza research, this means a rethinking of the concept of mycorrhizal benefit. Synonymous with plant growth enhancement in the past, in the context of sustainability it may be redefined in terms of agrosystem stability, resting on soil biotic communities in harmony with roots and balance with each other within a strong, resilient, life-supporting soil matrix.

Thus, we see a closed chain of cause-effect relationships as the ultimate benefit of mycorrhizal fungi in the agrosystem. The fungi improve plant growth, health, and stress resistance; the plant so strengthened is a more abundant source of energy to the soil, encouraging the development of its biota; the organisms enhance soil aggregate formation; and the life-supporting soil structure so formed permits better plant growth, closing the chain.

References

- Abbott, L.K. and Robson, A.D. (1984a) Selection of 'efficient' VA mycorrhizal fungi. Proc. 6th North American Conference on Mycorrhizae, College of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, pp. 89–90.
- Abbott, L.K. and Robson, A.D. (1984b) The effect of root density, inoculum placement and infectivity of inoculum on the development of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. *New Phytol.* 97: 285–299.
- Abbott, L.K. and Robson, A.D. (1991) Field management of VA mycorrhizal fungi. In: D.L. Keister and P.B. Cregan (eds) *The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 355–362.
- Alberts, E.E., and Wendt, R.C. (1985) Influence of soybean and corn cropping on soil aggregate size and stability. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 49: 1534–1537.
- Alberts, E.E., Wendt, R.C., and Burwell, R.E. (1985) Corn and soybean cropping effects on soil losses and C factors. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 49: 721–728.
- Ames, R.N. (1989) Mycorrhiza development in onion in response to inoculation with chitin-decomposing actinomycetes. *New Phytol.* 112: 423–427.
- Ames, R.N., Reid, C.P.P., and Ingham, E.R. (1984) Rhizosphere bacterial populations responses to root colonization by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytol.* 96: 555–563.
- Ames, R.N., Reid, C.P.P., Porter, L.K., and Cambardella, C. (1983) Hyphal uptake and transport of nitrogen from two ¹⁵N-labelled sources by *Glomus mosseae*, a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytol.* 95: 381–396.
- Asai, T. (1943) Die Bedeutung der Mykorrhiza für das Pflanzenleben (The significance of mycorrhizae for plants). *Japn. J. Bot.* 12: 359–436.
- Asai, T. (1948) Über die Mykorrhizenbildung der leguminösen Pflanzen (On mycorrhiza formation in leguminous plants). *Japn. J. Bot.* 13: 463–485.
- Azcón, R. (1989) Selective interaction between free-living rhizosphere bacteria and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 21: 639–644.
- Azcón, R., Gomez, M., and Tobar R. (1992) Effects of nitrogen source on growth, nutrition, photosynthetic rate and nitrogen metabolism of mycorrhizal and phosphorus-fertilized plants of *Lactuca sativa* L. *New Phytol.* 121: 227–234.
- Azcón, R., Rubio, R., and Barea, J.M. (1991) Selective interactions between different species of mycorrhizal fungi and *Rhizobium meliloti* strains, and their effects on growth, N₂ fixation (¹⁵N) and nutrition of *Medicago sativa* L. *New Phytol.* 117: 399–404.

- Azcón, R., Rubio, R., Morales, C., and Tobar R. (1990) Interactions between rhizosphere free-living microorganisms and VAM fungi. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 29: 11–15.
- Azcón-Aguilar, C. and Barea, J.M. (1992) Interactions between mycorrhizal fungi and other rhizosphere microorganisms. In: M.F. Allen (ed) *Mycorrhizal Functioning*, Chapman & Hall, New York, pp. 163–198.
- Azcón-Aguilar, C., Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., Fardeau, J.C., and Gianinazzi, S. (1986) Effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on growth of soybean in neutral-calcareous soil amended with ^{32}P - ^{45}Ca -tricalcium phosphate. *Plant Soil* 96: 3–15.
- Bagyaraj, D.J. (1984) Biological interactions with VA mycorrhizal fungi. In: C.L.L. Powell and D.J. Bagyaraj (eds) *VA Mycorrhiza*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 131–153.
- Bagyaraj, D.J. and Menge, J.A. (1978) Interactions between a VA mycorrhiza and *Azotobacter*, and their effects on rhizosphere microflora and plant growth. *New Phytol.* 80: 567–573.
- Barea, J.M. and Azcón-Aguilar, C. (1982) Mycorrhizas and their significance in nodulating, nitrogen-fixing plants. *Adv. Agron.* 36: 1–54.
- Barea, J.M., Azcón, R., and Azcón-Aguilar, C. (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in nitrogen-fixing systems. *Methods Microbiol.* 24: 391–416.
- Barea, J.M., Azcón-Aguilar, C., and Azcón, R. (1987) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza improve both symbiotic N_2 fixation and N uptake from soil as assessed with a ^{15}N technique under field conditions. *New Phytol.* 106: 717–725.
- Barea, J.M. and Jeffries, P. (1994) Arbuscular mycorrhizas in sustainable plant-soil systems. In: A. Varma and B. Hock (eds) *Mycorrhiza: Function, Molecular Biology and Biotechnology*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, in press.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J. (1992) Mycorrhizae and crop productivity. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 1–25.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J., Brown, M.S., and Stafford, A.E. (1985) *Glycine-Glomus-Rhizobium* symbiosis II. Antagonistic effects between mycorrhizal colonization and nodulation. *Plant Physiol.* 79: 1054–1058.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J. and Linderman, R.G. (1992) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J. and Newton, W.E. (1991) Agro-ecological aspects of the mycorrhizal, nitrogen-fixing legume symbiosis. In: D.L. Keister and P.B. Cregan (eds) *The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth: Proceedings of a Symposium held May 8–11, 1989*, at the Beltsville Agric. Research Center, Maryland, USA, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 349–354.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J. and Svejcar, A.J. (1991) *Mycorrhizae in plant productivity and soil conservation*. Actes du Quatrième Congrès International des Terres de Parcours, Association Française de Pastoralisme, Montpellier, pp. 251–254.
- Bethlenfalvay, G.J., Thomas, R.S., Dakessian, S., Brown, M.S., and Ames, R.N. (1988) *Mycorrhizae in stressed environments: Effects on plant growth, endophyte development, soil stability, and soil water*. In: Whitehead October 20–25, 1985, Tucson, Arizona, Westview Press, Boulder, pp. 1015–1029.
- Bezdicsek, D.F. and Power, J.P. (1984) *Organic Farming: Current Technology and its Role in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 46, American society of Agronomy, Madison.
- Board on Agriculture, Committee of Long-Range Soil and Water Conservation, National Research Council. (1993) *Soil and Water Quality: an Agenda for Agriculture*, National Academy Press, Washington.
- Burns, R.G., and Davies, J.A. (1986) The microbiology of soil structure. *Biol. Agric. Hortic.* 3: 95–113.
- Burr, T.J. and Caesar, A. (1984) Beneficial plant bacteria. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 2: 1–20.
- Cambardella, C.A. and Elliott, E.T. (1993) Carbon and nitrogen distribution in aggregates from cultivated and native grassland soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 57: 1071–1076.
- Cambardella, C.A. and Elliott, E.T. (1994) Carbon and nitrogen dynamics of soil organic matter fractions from cultivated grassland soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 58: 123–130.
- Camel, S.B., Reyes-Solis, M.G., Ferrera-Cerrato, R., Franson, R.L., Brown, M.S., and Bethlenfalvay, G.J. (1991) Growth of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelium through bulk soil. *Soil Sci Soc. Am. J.* 55: 389–393.
- Christensen, H. and Jakobsen, I. (1993) Reduction of bacterial growth by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in the rhizosphere of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) *Biol. Fert. Soils* 15: 253–258.
- Cooke, G.W. (1982) *Fertilizing for Maximum Yield*, Third Edition, Macmillan Publishing, New York.
- Dhillon, S.S. (1992) Dual inoculation of pretransplant stage *Oryza sativa* L. plants with indigenous vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 13: 147–151.
- Dinel, H., Lévésque, P.E.M., Jambu, P., and Right, D. (1992) Microbial activity and long-chain aliphatics in the formation of stable soil aggregates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 56: 1455–1463.
- Döbereiner, J. (1989) Recent advances in associations of diazotrophs with plant roots. In: V. Vancura and F. Kunc (eds) *Interrelationships between Microorganisms and Plants in Soil*, Academia, Praha, pp. 229–242.

- Doran, J.W. and Linn, D.M. (1994) Microbial ecology of conservation management systems. In: J.L. Hatfield and B.A. Stewart (eds) *Soil biology: Effects on Soil Quality*, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, pp. 1–57.
- Elliott, E.T. (1986) Aggregate structure and carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in native and cultivated soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50: 627–633.
- Elliott, E.T. and Coleman, D.C. (1988) Let the soil work for us. *Ecol. Bull.* 39: 23–32.
- Emerson, P.W., Foster, R.C., and Oades, J.M. (1986) Organo–mineral complexes in relation to soil aggregation. In: P.W. Huang and M. Schnitzer (eds) *Interaction of Soil Minerals with Natural Organics and Microbes*, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Special Publication 17, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, pp. 521–548.
- Finlay, R.D. (1985) Interactions between soil micro–arthropods and endomycorrhizal associations of higher plants. In: A.H. Fitter, D. Atkinson, D.J. Read, and M.B. Usher (eds) *Ecological Interactions in Soil, Plants, Microbes, and Animals*, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston, pp. 319–331.
- Finlay, R.D. and Sönderström, B. (1992) Mycorrhiza and carbon flow to the soil. In: M.F. Allen (ed) *Mycorrhizal Functioning*, Chapman & Hall, New York, pp. 134–160.
- Fitter, A.H. (1985) Functioning of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizas under field conditions. *New Phytol.* 99: 257–265.
- Fitter, A.H. (1986) Effect of benomyl on leaf phosphorus concentration in alpine grasslands: a test of mycorrhizal benefit. *New Phytol.* 103: 767–776.
- Fitter, A.H. (1991) Costs and benefits of mycorrhizas: Implications and functioning under natural conditions. *Experientia* 47: 350–355.
- Fitter, A.H., and Sanders, I.R. (1992) Interactions with the soil fauna. In: M.F. Allen (ed) *Mycorrhizal Functioning*, Chapman & Hall, New York, pp. 333–354.
- Foster, R.E. (1994) Microorganisms and soil aggregates. In: C.E. Pankhurst, B.M. Double, V.V.S.R. Gupta and P.R. Grace (eds) *Soil Biota Management in Sustainable Farming Systems*. CSIRO Information Services, Melbourne. pp. 144–155.
- Gerdeman, J.W. (1968) Vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 6: 397–418.
- Frey, B. and Schüepp, H. (1993a) Acquisition of nitrogen by external hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with *Zea mays* L. *New Phytol.* 124: 221–230.
- Frey, B. and Schüepp, H. (1993b) A role of vesicular–arbuscular (VA) mycorrhizal fungi in facilitating interplant nitrogen transfer. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 25: 651–658.
- Frey, B. and Schüepp, H. (1992) Transfer of symbiotically fixed nitrogen from berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) to maize via vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae. *New Phytol.* 122:447–454.
- Gish, R.E. and Browning, G.M. (1948) Factors affecting the stability of soil aggregates. *Soil Sci.Soc. Am. Proc.* 12: 51–55.
- Gupta, V.V.S.R. and Germida, J.J. (1988) Distribution of microbial biomass and its activity in different soil aggregate size classes as affected by cultivation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 20: 777–786.
- Harris, K.K. and Boerner, R.E.J. (1990) Effects of belowground grazing by Collembola on growth, mycorrhizal infection, and P uptake of *Geranium robertianum*M. *Plant and Soil* 129: 103–210.
- Harris, R.F., Chester, G. and Allen, O.N. (1966) Dynamics of soil aggregation. *Adv. Agron.* 18: 107–169.
- Harwood, R.R. (1991) A history of sustainable agriculture. In: R. Lal and F.J. Pierce (eds) *Soil Management for Sustainability*, Soil and Water Conservation Society of America, Ankeny, pp. 3–19.
- Hatfield, J.H. and Karlen, D.L. (1994). *Sustainable Agriculture Systems*, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.
- Hendrix, P.F., Crossley, D.A., Blair, J.M. and Coleman, D.C. (1990) Soil biota as components of sustainable agroecosystems. In: C.A. Edwards, R. Lal, P. Madden, R.H. Miller and G. House (eds) *Sustainable Agricultural Systems*, St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, pp. 637–654.
- Hepper, C.M. (1975) Extracellular polysaccharides of soil bacteria. In: N. Walker (ed) *Soil Microbiology*, Butterworths, London, pp. 93–110.
- Hetrick, B.A.D. and Wilson, G.W.T. (1991) Effects of mycorrhizal fungus species and metalaxyl application on microbial suppression of mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Mycologia* 83:97–102.
- Hetrick, B.A.D., Wilson, G.W.T. and Cox, T.S. (1992) Mycorrhizal dependence of modern wheat varieties, landraces, and ancestors. *Can. J. Bot.* 70: 2032–2040.
- Hetrick, B.A.D., Wilson, G.W.T. and Cox, T.S. (1993) Mycorrhizal dependence of modern wheat cultivars and ancestors: a synthesis. *Can. J. Bot.* 71: 512–518.
- Hetrick, B.A.D., Wilson, G.W.T., Kitt, D.G. and Schwab, A.P. (1988) Effects of soil microorganisms on mycorrhizal contribution to growth of big bluestem grass in non–sterile soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 20: 501–507.
- Hornick, S.B. and Parr, J.F. (1987) Restoring the productivity of marginal soils with organic amendments. *Am. J. Alternative Agric.* 2: 64–68.
- Howard, A. (1943) *An Agricultural Testament*. Oxford University Press, London.
- Ingham, R.E. (1988) Interactions between nematodes and vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 24: 169–182.

- Ingham, R.E. (1992) Interactions between invertebrates and fungi: Effects on nutrient availability. In: G.C. Carrol and D.T. Wicklow (eds) *The Fungal Community*, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 669–690.
- Ingham, R.E., Trofymow, J.A., Ingham, E.R. and Coleman, D.C. (1985) Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and their nematode grazers: Effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. *Ecol. Monogr.* 55: 119–141.
- Jackson, W. (1980) *New Roots for Agriculture*, Friends of the Earth, San Francisco.
- Jakobsen, I. and Rosendahl, L. (1990) Carbon flow into soil and external hyphae from roots of mycorrhizal cucumber plants. *New Phytol.* 115: 77–83.
- Jastrow, J.D. (1987) Changes in soil aggregation associated with tallgrass prairie restoration. *Am. J. Bot.* 74: 1656–1664.
- Jastrow, J.D. and Miller, R.M. (1991) Methods for assessing the effects of biota on soil structure. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 34: 279–303.
- Jeffries, P. (1987) Use of mycorrhizae in agriculture. *CRC Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 5: 319–357.
- Jobansen, A., Jakobsen, I. and Jensen, E.S. (1992) Hyphal transport of ^{15}N -labelled nitrogen by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and its effect on depletion of inorganic soil N. *New Phytol.* 122, 281–288.
- Johnson, N.C. (1993) Can fertilization of soil select less mutualistic mycorrhizae? *Ecol. Applic.* 3: 749–757.
- Johnson, N.C. and Pfleger, F.L. (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and cultural stress. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 71–99.
- Johnson, N.C., Copeland, P.J., Crookston, R.K. and Pfleger, F.L. (1992) Mycorrhizae: Possible explanation for yield decline with continuous corn and soybean. *Agron. J.* 84: 387–390.
- Kirchner, M.J., Wollum II, A.G. and King, L.D. (1993) Soil microbial populations and activities in reduced chemical input agroecosystems. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 57: 1289–1295.
- Knight, W.G., Allen, M.F., Jurinak, J.J. and Dudley, L.M. (1989) Elevated carbon dioxide and solution phosphorus in soil with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal western wheatgrass. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 53: 1075–1082.
- Koide, R.T. (1991) Nutrient supply, nutrient demand and plant response to mycorrhizal infection. *New Phytol.* 117: 365–386.
- Koide, R.T. and Schreiner, R.P. (1992) Regulation of the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 43: 557–581.
- Krishna, K.R., Balakrishna, A.N. and Bagyaraj, D.J. (1982) Interactions between a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and *Streptomyces cinnamomeus* and their effect on finger millet. *New Phytol.* 92: 401–405.
- Kurle, J.E., and Pfleger, F.L. (1994) The effects of cultural practices and pesticides on VAM fungi. In: F.L. Pfleger, and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae and Plant Health*, APS Press, St. Paul, pp. 101–131.
- Laflen, J.M. and Moldenhauer, W.C. (1979) Soil and water losses from corn-soybean rotations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43: 1213–1215.
- Li, X.-L., George, E. and Marschner, H. (1991) Phosphorus depletion and pH decrease at the root-soil and hyphae-soil interfaces of VA mycorrhizal white clover fertilized with ammonium. *New Phytol.* 119: 397–404.
- Linderman, R.G. (1986) Managing rhizosphere microorganisms in the production of horticultural crops. *HortScience* 21: 1299–1302.
- Linderman, R.G. (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and soil microbial interactions. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 45–70.
- Linderman, R.G. (1994) Role of VAM fungi in biocontrol. In: F.L. Pfleger, and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae and Plant Health*, APS Press, St. Paul, pp. 1–26.
- Linderman, R.G. and Paulitz, T.C. (1990) Mycorrhizal-rhizobacterial interactions. In: D. Hornby (ed) *Biological Control of Soil-Borne Plant Pathogens*, CAB International, Wallingford.
- Lynch, J.M. (1987) Soil biology: accomplishments and potential. *Soil Sci Soc. Am. J.* 51: 1409–1412.
- Lynch, J.M. and Whipps, J.M. (1991) Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. In: D.L. Keister and P.B. Cregan (eds) *The Rhizosphere and Plant Growth*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 15–24.
- Marschner, H., and Römheld, V. (1983) In-vivo measurement of root-induced pH changes at the soil-root interface: effect of plant species and nitrogen source. *Z. Pflanzenphysiol.* 111: 241–251.
- Marschner, H., Römheld, V. and Cakmak, I. (1987) Root-induced changes of nutrient availability in the rhizosphere. *J. Plant Nutr.* 10: 1175–1184.
- McGonigle, T.P., and Fitter, A.H. (1988) *Ecological consequences of arthropod grazing on VA mycorrhizal fungi*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 94B: 25–32.
- Meyer, J.R., and Linderman, R.G. (1986) Selective influence on populations of rhizosphere bacteria and actinomycetes by mycorrhizas formed by *Glomus fasciculatum*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 18: 191–196.
- Miller, R.M. (1984) Microbial ecology and nutrient cycling in disturbed arid ecosystems. In: A.J. Dvorak (ed) *Ecological Studies of Disturbed Landscapes*, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, U.S. Department of Energy, Argonne, pp. 3–1 to 3–29.

- Miller, R.M. (1987) The ecology of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizae in grass– and shrublands. In: G.R. Safir (ed) *Ecophysiology of VA mycorrhizal plants*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 135–170.
- Miller, R.H. (1990) Soil microbiological inputs for sustainable agricultural systems. In: C.A. Edwards, R. Lal, P. Madden, R.H. Miller and G. House (eds) *Sustainable Agricultural Systems*, St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, pp. 614–623.
- Miller, R.M., and Jastrow, J.D. (1990) Hierarchy of root and mycorrhizal fungal interactions with soil aggregation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 22: 579–584.
- Miller, R.M. and Jastrow, J.D. (1992a) The application of VA mycorrhizae to ecosystem restoration and reclamation. In: M.F. Allen (ed) *Mycorrhizal Functioning*, Chapman & Hall, New York, pp. 438–467.
- Miller, R.M. and Jastrow, J.D. (1992b) The role of mycorrhizal fungi in soil conservation. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 29–44.
- Miller, R.M. and Jastrow, J.D. (1994) Vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizae and biogeochemical cycling. In: F.L. Pfleger, and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae and Plant Health*, APS Press, St. Paul, pp. 189–212.
- Moore, J.C. (1988) The influence of microarthropods on symbiotic and non–symbiotic mutualisms in detrital–based below–ground food webs. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 24: 147–159.
- Mosse, B., Powell, C.LI. and Hayman, D.S. (1976) Plant growth responses to vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza. IX. Interactions between VA mycorrhiza, rock phosphate and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *New Phytol.* 76: 331–342.
- Newman, E.I. (1985) The rhizosphere: carbon sources and microbial populations. In: A.H. Fitter, D. Atkinson, D.J. Read and M.B. Usher (eds) *Ecological Interactions in Soil, Plants, Microbes, and Animals*, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston, pp. 107–121.
- Oades, J.M. (1994) Soil organic matter and structural stability: mechanisms and implications for management. In: J. Tinsley and J.F. Darbyshire (eds) *Biological Processes and Soil Fertility*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Hague, pp. 319–337.
- O'Neill, R.V. and Waide, J.B. (1991) Hierarchy theory as a guide to mycorrhizal research on large–scale problems. *Environ. Pollu.* 73: 271–284.
- Paula, M.A., Urquiaga, S., Siqueira, J.O. and Döbereiner, J. (1992) Synergistic effects of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and diazotrophic bacteria on nutrition and growth of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*). *Biol. Fert. Soils* 14: 61–66.
- Paulitz, T.C. and Linderman, R.G. (1991) Mycorrhizal interactions with soil organisms. In: D.K. Aurora, B. Rai, K.G. Mukerji and G. Knudsen (eds) *Handbook of Applied Mycology*, Vol. 1: *Soils and Plants*, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 77–129.
- Paulitz T.C., and Menge, J.A., (1986) the effects of a mycoparasite on the mycorrhizal fungus, *Glomus deserticola*. *Phytopathology* 76: 351:354.
- Pierce, F.J., and Lal, R. (1991) Soil management in the 21st century. In: R. Lal and F.J. Pierce (eds) *Soil Management for Sustainability*, Soil and Water Conservation Society of America, Ankeny, pp. 175–179.
- Pfleger, F.L. and Linderman, R.G. (1994) *Mycorrhizae and Plant Health*. APS Press, St. Paul.
- Rabatin, S.A. and Stinner, B.R. (1991) Vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizae, plant, and invertebrate interactions. In: P. Barbosa, V.A. Krishik and C.G. Jones (eds) *Microbial Mediation of Plant–Herbivore Interactions*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp.142–168.
- Reganold, J.P., Papendick, R.I. and Parr, J.F. (1990) Sustainable agriculture. *Sci. Am.* 262: 112–120.
- Reid, C.P.P. (1990) Mycorrhizas. In: J.M. Lynch (ed) *The Rhizosphere*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 281–315.
- Reid, J.B. and Goss, M.J. (1981) Effect of living roots of different plant species on the aggregate stability of two arable soils. *J. Soil Sci.* 33: 47–53.
- Robert, M. and Berthelin, J. (1986) Role of biological and biochemical factors in soil mineral weathering. In: P.M. Huang and M. Schnitzer (eds) *Interaction of Soil Minerals with Natural Organics and Microbes*, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Special Publication 17, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, pp. 453–496
- Rodale, J.I. (1983) Breaking new ground: The search for a sustainable agriculture. *The Futurist* 1: 15–20.
- Ross, J.P., and Ruttencutter, R. (1977) Population dynamics of two vesicular–arbuscular endomycorrhizal fungi and the role of hyperparasitic fungi. *Phytopathology* 67:490–496.
- Safir, G.R. (1994) Involvement of cropping systems, plant–produced compounds, and inoculum production in the functioning of VAM fungi. In: F.L. Pfleger, and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae and Plant Health*, APS Press, St. Paul, pp. 239–259.
- Schippers, B., Bakker, A.W. and Bakker, A.H.M. (1987) Interactions of deleterious and beneficial rhizosphere microorganisms and the effect of cropping practices. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 25: 339–358.
- Schlicht, A. (1889) Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Verbreitung und der Bedeutung der Mykorrhizen (Contribution to knowledge on the distribution and significance of mycorrhizae). *Landw. Jahrb.* 18: 477–508.
- Schönbeck, F. and Dehne, H.–W. (1989) VA mycorrhiza and plant health. In: V. Vancura and F. Kunc (eds) *Interrelationships between Microorganisms and Plants in Soil*, Academia, Praha, pp. 83–91.

- Schroth, M.N. and Weinhold, A.R. (1986) Root-colonizing bacteria and plant health. *Hort. Science* 21: 1295–1298.
- Schwab, S.M., Menge, J.A. and Tinker, P.B. (1991) Regulation of nutrient transfer between host and fungus in vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. *New Phytol.* 117: 387–398.
- Secilia, J. and Bagyaraj, D.J. (1987) Bacteria and actinomycetes associated with pot cultures of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 33: 1069–1073.
- Sieverding, E. (1991) *Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Management in Tropical Agrosystems*, Hartmut Bremer Verlag, Friedland.
- Stahl, E. (1900) Der Sinn der Mycorrhizenbildung (The meaning of mycorrhiza formation). *Jahrb. Wiss. Bot.* 34: 540–668.
- Staley, T.E., Lawrence, E.G., Nance, E.L. (1992) Influence of a plant growth-promoting pseudomonad and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on alfalfa and birdsfoot trefoil growth and nodulation. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 14: 175–180.
- Stewart, B.A., Lal, R., and El-Swaify, S.A. (1991) Sustaining the resource base on an expanding world agriculture. In: R. Lal and F.J. Pierce (eds) *Soil Management for Sustainability*, Soil and Water Conservation Society of America, Ankeny, pp. 125–144.
- Stribley, D.P. (1989) Present and future value of mycorrhizal inoculants. In: R. Campbell and R.M. Macdonald (eds) *Microbial Inoculation of Crop Plants*, IRL Press, Oxford, pp. 49–65.
- Strickling, E. (1950) The effect of soybeans on volume, weight and water stability of soil aggregates, soil organic matter content, and crop yield. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 14: 30–34.
- Sylvia, D.M., and Schenck, N.C. (1983) soil fungicides for controlling chytridaceous mycoparasites of *Gigaspora margarita* and *Glomus fasciculatum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 45: 1306–1309.
- Sylvia, D.M., and Williams, S.F. (1992) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae and environmental stress. In: G.J. Bethlenfalvay and R.G. Linderman (eds) *Mycorrhizae in Sustainable Agriculture*, Am. Soc. Agron. Special Publication 54, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 101–124.
- Thomas, R.S., Dakessian, S., Ames, R.N., Brown, M.S., and Bethlenfalvay, G.J. (1986) Aggregation of a silty loam soil by mycorrhizal onion roots. *Soil Sci Soc. Am. J.* 50: 1494–1499.
- Thomas, R.S., Franson, R.L., and Bethlenfalvay, G.J. (1993) Separation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and root effects on soil aggregation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 57: 77–81.
- Tinker, P.B. (1984) The role of microorganisms in mediating and facilitating the uptake of plant nutrients from soil. In: J. Tinsley and J.F. Darbyshire (eds) *Biological Processes and Soil Fertility*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Hague, pp. 77–91.
- Tisdall, J.M. (1991) Fungal byphae and structural stability of soil. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 29: 729–743.
- Tisdall, J.M., and Oades, J.M. (1979) Stabilization of soil aggregates by the root systems of ryegrass. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 17: 429–441.
- Tisdall, J.M., and Oades, J.M. (1980a) The effect of crop rotation on aggregation in a red-brown earth. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 18: 423–433.
- Tisdall, J.M., and Oades, J.M. (1980b) The management of ryegrass to stabilize aggregates of a red-brown earth. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 18: 415–422.
- Tisdall, J.M., and Oades, J.M. (1982) Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *J. Soil Sci.* 33: 141–163.
- Vaast, Ph., and Zasoski, R.J. (1992) Effects of VA-mycorrhizae and nitrogen sources on rhizosphere soil characteristics, growth and nutrient acquisition of coffee seedlings (*Coffea arabica* L.). *Plant Soil* 147: 31–39.
- Vejsadová, H., Catská, V., Hrselová, H., and Gryndler, M. (1993) Influence of bacteria on growth and phosphorus nutrition of mycorrhizal corn. *J. Plant Nutr.* 16: 1857–1866.
- Visser, S. (1985) Role of the soil invertebrates in determining the composition of soil microbial communities. In: A.H. Fitter, D. Atkinson, D.J. Read and M.B. Usher (eds) *Ecological Interactions in Soil, Plants, Microbes, and Animals*, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston, pp. 297–317.
- Wang, G.M., Stribley, D.P., Tinker, P.B., and Walker, C. (1993) Effects of pH on arbuscular mycorrhiza I Field observations on the long-term liming experiments at Rothamsted and Woburn. *New Phytol.* 124: 465–472.
- Whipps, J.M. (1990) Carbon economy. In: J.M. Lynch (ed) *The Rhizosphere*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 59–97.
- Wright, S.F., and Millner, P.D. (1994) Dynamic processes of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae: A mycorrhizal system within the Agroecosystem. In: J.L. Hatfield and B.A. Stewart (eds) *Soil Biology: Effects on Soil Quality*, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, pp. 29–59.
- Zuberer, D.A. (1990) Soil and rhizosphere aspects of N₂-fixing plant-microbe associations. In: J.M. Lynch (ed) *The Rhizosphere*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 317–353.